



BANNER 1 001  
SUMMARY OF KEY DATA

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	801	368	433	304	160	144	176	220	145	244	176	249	267	257
	100%	46%	54%	38%	20%	18%	22%	27%	18%	31%	22%	31%	33%	32%
ATR IMAGE	16	1	15	13	-6	4	5	3	-2	4	11	13	4	-2
-----	2%	*	3%	4%	-4%	3%	3%	2%	-2%	2%	6%	5%	1%	-1%
TOTAL FAV	63	28	36	25	12	13	13	19	8	17	18	21	20	19
	8%	8%	8%	8%	7%	9%	8%	9%	6%	7%	10%	8%	7%	7%
TOTAL UNFAV	47	27	20	12	18	8	9	16	11	13	6	8	16	21
	6%	7%	5%	4%	11%	6%	5%	7%	7%	5%	4%	3%	6%	8%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 2 002  
SUMMARY OF KEY DATA

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	801 100%	157 20%	257 32%	187 23%	191 24%	156 19%	111 14%	136 17%	398 50%	609 76%	56 7%	88 11%	12 1%	200 25%	601 75%
ATR IMAGE -----	16 2%	4 3%	15 6%	5 3%	-7 -4%	8 5%	5 5%	-1 -0%	4 1%	18 3%	-0 -0%	-1 -2%	0 *	7 4%	9 2%
TOTAL FAV	63 8%	11 7%	27 10%	17 9%	9 5%	14 9%	10 9%	7 5%	32 8%	52 8%	2 4%	7 8%	1 12%	22 11%	42 7%
TOTAL UNFAV	47 6%	7 4%	12 5%	12 6%	16 8%	6 4%	5 5%	8 6%	28 7%	33 5%	2 4%	8 10%	1 12%	15 7%	32 5%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 3 003  
SUMMARY OF KEY DATA

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS								
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAN	PACIFIC
TOTAL	801 100%	144 18%	184 23%	292 36%	180 22%	40 5%	104 13%	128 16%	56 7%	164 21%	48 6%	80 10%	56 7%	124 15%
ATR IMAGE -----	16 2%	6 4%	8 5%	11 4%	-8 -5%	2 4%	4 4%	5 4%	3 5%	3 2%	5 10%	3 3%	-0 -0%	-8 -7%
TOTAL FAV	63 8%	11 8%	15 8%	26 9%	11 6%	5 11%	7 6%	10 8%	5 9%	14 9%	7 14%	5 7%	3 5%	8 7%
TOTAL UNFAV	47 6%	5 4%	6 3%	16 5%	20 11%	3 7%	2 2%	5 4%	2 3%	11 7%	2 4%	3 3%	3 5%	16 13%



BANNER 4 004  
SUMMARY OF KEY DATA

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE CAP		INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS	
	TOTAL	TOTAL	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
	FAV	UNFAV								
TOTAL	801	47	437	265	410	324	632	122	667	106
	100%	6%	54%	33%	51%	40%	79%	15%	83%	13%
ATR IMAGE	16	-47	0	15	-7	22	9	5	9	9
-----	2%	100%	*	6%	-2%	7%	1%	4%	1%	9%
TOTAL FAV	63	-	36	24	30	30	45	13	50	13
	8%	100%	8%	9%	7%	9%	7%	10%	7%	12%
TOTAL UNFAV	47	-	36	9	36	8	36	8	41	4
	6%	100%	8%	4%	9%	2%	6%	6%	6%	3%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 1 005  
SUMMARY OF BALLOTS

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	801	368	433	304	160	144	176	220	145	244	176	249	267	257
	100%	46%	54%	38%	20%	18%	22%	27%	18%	31%	22%	31%	33%	32%
INITIAL PRICE CAPS -----	171	79	92	57	41	26	45	49	28	49	43	4	34	137
	21%	22%	21%	19%	26%	18%	25%	22%	20%	20%	24%	1%	13%	53%
SUPPORT	437	203	233	156	97	81	95	124	77	130	99	111	135	183
	54%	55%	54%	51%	61%	56%	54%	56%	53%	53%	56%	45%	50%	71%
OPPOSE	265	124	141	99	56	54	50	74	49	81	56	107	101	46
	33%	34%	33%	33%	35%	38%	29%	34%	34%	33%	32%	43%	38%	18%
INFORMED PRICE CAPS -----	87	56	31	46	6	15	21	29	26	23	9	-62	24	126
	11%	15%	7%	15%	4%	10%	12%	13%	18%	9%	5%	-25%	9%	49%
SUPPORT	410	199	211	159	79	76	89	116	81	123	84	87	135	179
	51%	54%	49%	52%	49%	52%	51%	53%	56%	50%	48%	35%	50%	70%
OPPOSE	324	143	181	113	73	61	68	86	55	100	75	148	110	53
	40%	39%	42%	37%	46%	42%	39%	39%	38%	41%	42%	60%	41%	21%
INITIAL PRACTITIONERS -----	510	238	272	216	103	89	94	156	80	162	102	143	179	175
	64%	65%	63%	71%	64%	61%	53%	71%	56%	66%	58%	57%	67%	68%
SUPPORT	632	293	339	251	128	113	129	181	109	198	133	192	215	207
	79%	79%	78%	83%	80%	78%	73%	82%	75%	81%	75%	77%	80%	80%
OPPOSE	122	55	67	35	25	24	35	25	29	35	30	49	36	32
	15%	15%	15%	12%	16%	17%	20%	11%	20%	15%	17%	20%	13%	12%
INFORMED PRACTITIONERS -----	561	263	298	227	120	97	109	167	94	179	113	162	193	195
	70%	72%	69%	75%	75%	67%	62%	76%	65%	73%	64%	65%	72%	76%
SUPPORT	667	308	359	261	138	119	138	190	116	209	140	202	225	222
	83%	84%	83%	86%	86%	82%	78%	86%	80%	86%	80%	81%	84%	86%
OPPOSE	106	45	61	34	18	21	29	22	23	30	28	40	32	27
	13%	12%	14%	11%	11%	15%	17%	10%	16%	12%	16%	16%	12%	10%



BANNER 2 006  
SUMMARY OF BALLOTS

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	801 100%	157 20%	257 32%	187 23%	191 24%	156 19%	111 14%	136 17%	398 50%	609 76%	56 7%	88 11%	12 1%	200 25%	601 75%
INITIAL PRICE CAPS -----	171 21%	13 8%	54 21%	37 20%	69 36%	39 25%	2 2%	28 21%	102 26%	112 18%	18 31%	29 33%	5 42%	40 20%	131 22%
SUPPORT	437 54%	74 47%	139 54%	101 54%	120 63%	87 56%	46 41%	74 54%	230 58%	326 54%	33 60%	53 60%	7 55%	103 52%	333 55%
OPPOSE	265 33%	61 39%	85 33%	64 34%	51 27%	47 30%	44 39%	46 34%	128 32%	214 35%	16 28%	23 27%	2 13%	63 32%	202 34%
INFORMED PRICE CAPS -----	87 11%	-8 -5%	22 9%	12 6%	63 33%	17 11%	-10 -9%	20 15%	59 15%	21 3%	21 37%	28 32%	7 58%	22 11%	65 11%
SUPPORT	410 51%	64 41%	128 50%	95 51%	121 63%	78 50%	43 39%	76 56%	213 54%	289 48%	38 69%	53 60%	9 79%	100 50%	310 52%
OPPOSE	324 40%	72 46%	106 41%	83 45%	58 31%	61 39%	53 48%	56 41%	154 39%	269 44%	18 31%	24 28%	3 21%	79 39%	245 41%
INITIAL PRACTITIONERS -----	510 64%	87 56%	151 59%	133 71%	134 70%	107 68%	62 55%	104 76%	238 60%	392 64%	36 65%	55 63%	8 64%	126 63%	384 64%
SUPPORT	632 79%	119 76%	194 76%	157 84%	155 81%	126 81%	83 74%	118 86%	306 77%	482 79%	46 82%	68 77%	9 76%	155 77%	477 79%
OPPOSE	122 15%	31 20%	43 17%	24 13%	21 11%	19 12%	21 19%	14 10%	68 17%	90 15%	9 17%	13 15%	1 12%	28 14%	93 16%
INFORMED PRACTITIONERS -----	561 70%	102 65%	171 67%	145 77%	138 72%	117 75%	65 58%	111 81%	269 68%	434 71%	44 78%	57 65%	8 67%	138 69%	423 70%
SUPPORT	667 83%	126 81%	206 80%	165 88%	163 85%	133 85%	85 76%	122 89%	328 83%	511 84%	50 89%	71 80%	10 79%	163 82%	504 84%
OPPOSE	106 13%	25 16%	35 14%	21 11%	25 13%	16 10%	20 18%	11 8%	59 15%	77 13%	6 11%	14 16%	1 12%	25 12%	81 13%



BANNER 3 007  
SUMMARY OF BALLOTS

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS								
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTIC	E CENTRAL	NORTH CENTRAL	W CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTIC	E CENTRAL	SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAIN
TOTAL	801 100%	144 18%	184 23%	292 36%	180 22%	40 5%	104 13%	128 16%	56 7%	164 21%	48 6%	80 10%	56 7%	124 15%
INITIAL PRICE CAPS -----	171 21%	34 24%	32 18%	64 22%	41 23%	17 43%	17 16%	26 20%	6 11%	48 29%	9 20%	7 8%	13 24%	27 22%
SUPPORT	437 54%	81 56%	95 52%	160 55%	101 56%	27 66%	54 52%	69 54%	27 48%	96 58%	26 53%	38 48%	33 59%	68 54%
OPPOSE	265 33%	47 32%	63 34%	95 33%	60 33%	9 24%	37 36%	43 33%	20 36%	48 29%	16 34%	31 39%	20 35%	40 33%
INFORMED PRICE CAPS -----	87 11%	13 9%	9 5%	34 12%	31 17%	10 24%	3 3%	-1 -1%	10 17%	30 18%	-2 -4%	6 7%	0 1%	31 25%
SUPPORT	410 51%	74 51%	88 48%	151 52%	97 54%	23 59%	50 48%	57 44%	31 56%	90 55%	21 44%	39 49%	27 48%	70 57%
OPPOSE	324 40%	61 42%	80 43%	117 40%	66 37%	14 35%	47 45%	58 45%	22 38%	60 37%	23 49%	33 42%	27 48%	39 32%
INITIAL PRACTITIONERS -----	510 64%	99 69%	96 52%	202 69%	113 63%	30 75%	69 66%	62 48%	35 62%	116 71%	34 72%	52 65%	36 64%	78 63%
SUPPORT	632 79%	117 81%	134 73%	240 82%	140 78%	32 80%	85 82%	91 71%	43 77%	137 83%	40 83%	63 79%	45 81%	95 77%
OPPOSE	122 15%	18 13%	38 21%	38 13%	27 15%	2 5%	16 16%	29 23%	9 16%	21 13%	6 12%	12 14%	10 17%	17 14%
INFORMED PRACTITIONERS -----	561 70%	102 71%	117 63%	211 72%	132 73%	34 85%	68 66%	77 60%	40 71%	122 74%	35 73%	54 67%	49 87%	83 67%
SUPPORT	667 83%	120 83%	147 80%	247 84%	153 85%	36 90%	84 81%	101 79%	46 82%	140 85%	41 85%	66 82%	53 94%	100 81%
OPPOSE	106 13%	18 12%	31 17%	36 12%	21 12%	2 5%	16 15%	24 19%	7 12%	18 11%	6 12%	12 15%	4 6%	18 14%



BANNER 4 008  
SUMMARY OF BALLOTS

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE CAP		INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
TOTAL	801	63	47	437	265	410	324	632	122	667	106
	100%	8%	6%	54%	33%	51%	40%	79%	15%	83%	13%
INITIAL PRICE CAPS -----	171	12	27	437	-265	316	-160	161	4	174	-10
	21%	18%	57%	100%	-100%	77%	-49%	26%	3%	26%	-9%
SUPPORT	437	36	36	437	-	348	62	358	57	383	41
	54%	57%	77%	100%		85%	19%	57%	47%	57%	39%
OPPOSE	265	24	9	-	265	32	222	197	53	209	51
	33%	39%	20%		100%	8%	69%	31%	44%	31%	48%
INFORMED PRICE CAPS -----	87	0	28	285	-190	410	-324	100	-20	100	-19
	11%	*	60%	65%	-72%	100%	-100%	16%	-16%	15%	-18%
SUPPORT	410	30	36	348	32	410	-	343	46	359	39
	51%	47%	77%	80%	12%	100%		54%	38%	54%	36%
OPPOSE	324	30	8	62	222	-	324	243	65	260	58
	40%	47%	17%	14%	84%		100%	38%	54%	39%	55%
INITIAL PRACTITIONERS -----	510	33	29	301	144	297	177	632	-122	584	-75
	64%	52%	61%	69%	54%	72%	55%	100%	-100%	88%	-71%
SUPPORT	632	45	36	358	197	343	243	632	-	614	11
	79%	72%	77%	82%	74%	84%	75%	100%		92%	10%
OPPOSE	122	13	8	57	53	46	65	-	122	30	86
	15%	20%	16%	13%	20%	11%	20%		100%	4%	81%
INFORMED PRACTITIONERS -----	561	37	37	341	158	321	202	603	-56	667	-106
	70%	58%	79%	78%	60%	78%	62%	95%	-46%	100%	-100%
SUPPORT	667	50	41	383	209	359	260	614	30	667	-
	83%	78%	87%	88%	79%	88%	80%	97%	24%	100%	
OPPOSE	106	13	4	41	51	39	58	11	86	-	106
	13%	20%	8%	9%	19%	9%	18%	2%	70%		100%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.





BANNER 1 009

3. Would you say you have a favorable or unfavorable impression of the organization Americans for Tax Reform, or have you not heard of them?

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	801	368	433	304	160	144	176	220	145	244	176	249	267	257
	100%	46%	54%	38%	20%	18%	22%	27%	18%	31%	22%	31%	33%	32%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	16	1	15	13	-6	4	5	3	-2	4	11	13	4	-2
	2%	*	3%	4%	-4%	3%	3%	2%	-2%	2%	6%	5%	1%	-1%
TOTAL FAVORABLE	63	28	36	25	12	13	13	19	8	17	18	21	20	19
	8%	8%	8%	8%	7%	9%	8%	9%	6%	7%	10%	8%	7%	7%
TOTAL UNFAVORABLE	47	27	20	12	18	8	9	16	11	13	6	8	16	21
	6%	7%	5%	4%	11%	6%	5%	7%	7%	5%	4%	3%	6%	8%
Very Favorable	28	12	16	10	3	6	8	9	3	5	11	10	9	7
	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	5%	4%	2%	2%	6%	4%	3%	3%
Somewhat Favorable	36	16	20	14	9	7	5	10	6	13	6	11	11	13
	4%	4%	5%	5%	6%	5%	3%	5%	4%	5%	3%	4%	4%	5%
No Opinion/Refused DNR	149	70	78	58	33	26	31	42	28	48	28	48	53	42
	19%	19%	18%	19%	20%	18%	17%	19%	20%	20%	16%	19%	20%	16%
Never Heard of	542	243	298	210	98	98	124	143	97	165	124	172	178	175
	68%	66%	69%	69%	61%	68%	70%	65%	67%	68%	71%	69%	67%	68%
Somewhat Unfavorable	23	15	9	7	8	4	5	8	6	7	2	3	11	9
	3%	4%	2%	2%	5%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	1%	1%	4%	4%
Very Unfavorable	24	12	12	4	10	5	4	7	4	7	4	5	6	12
	3%	3%	3%	1%	6%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	5%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 2 010

3. Would you say you have a favorable or unfavorable impression of the organization Americans for Tax Reform, or have you not heard of them?

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	801 100%	157 20%	257 32%	187 23%	191 24%	156 19%	111 14%	136 17%	398 50%	609 76%	56 7%	88 11%	12 1%	200 25%	601 75%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	16 2%	4 3%	15 6%	5 3%	-7 -4%	8 5%	5 5%	-1 -0%	4 1%	18 3%	-0 -0%	-1 -2%	0 *	7 4%	9 2%
TOTAL FAVORABLE	63 8%	11 7%	27 10%	17 9%	9 5%	14 9%	10 9%	7 5%	32 8%	52 8%	2 4%	7 8%	1 12%	22 11%	42 7%
TOTAL UNFAVORABLE	47 6%	7 4%	12 5%	12 6%	16 8%	6 4%	5 5%	8 6%	28 7%	33 5%	2 4%	8 10%	1 12%	15 7%	32 5%
Very Favorable	28 3%	6 4%	11 4%	6 3%	5 3%	6 4%	4 3%	3 2%	15 4%	22 4%	-	4 4%	1 12%	10 5%	18 3%
Somewhat Favorable	36 4%	5 3%	16 6%	11 6%	4 2%	8 5%	7 6%	4 3%	17 4%	30 5%	2 4%	3 4%	-	12 6%	24 4%
No Opinion/Refused DNR	149 19%	28 18%	55 21%	29 15%	37 19%	32 21%	25 23%	22 16%	69 17%	114 19%	11 19%	16 19%	1 11%	47 24%	102 17%
Never Heard of	542 68%	111 71%	163 63%	130 70%	129 68%	104 67%	70 63%	100 73%	268 67%	410 67%	41 73%	56 64%	8 65%	116 58%	425 71%
Somewhat Unfavorable	23 3%	5 3%	5 2%	5 3%	8 4%	2 1%	2 2%	6 4%	13 3%	16 3%	2 4%	3 4%	1 12%	8 4%	15 2%
Very Unfavorable	24 3%	2 1%	7 3%	7 4%	8 4%	4 2%	3 3%	2 1%	15 4%	18 3%	-	5 6%	-	6 3%	17 3%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 3 011

3. Would you say you have a favorable or unfavorable impression of the organization Americans for Tax Reform, or have you not heard of them?

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS								
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAN	PACIFIC
TOTAL	801 100%	144 18%	184 23%	292 36%	180 22%	40 5%	104 13%	128 16%	56 7%	164 21%	48 6%	80 10%	56 7%	124 15%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	16 2%	6 4%	8 5%	11 4%	-8 -5%	2 4%	4 4%	5 4%	3 5%	3 2%	5 10%	3 3%	-0 -0%	-8 -7%
TOTAL FAVORABLE	63 8%	11 8%	15 8%	26 9%	11 6%	5 11%	7 6%	10 8%	5 9%	14 9%	7 14%	5 7%	3 5%	8 7%
TOTAL UNFAVORABLE	47 6%	5 4%	6 3%	16 5%	20 11%	3 7%	2 2%	5 4%	2 3%	11 7%	2 4%	3 3%	3 5%	16 13%
Very Favorable	28 3%	4 3%	5 3%	15 5%	3 2%	2 4%	2 2%	4 3%	1 2%	8 5%	4 8%	3 4%	3 5%	0 *
Somewhat Favorable	36 4%	7 5%	10 5%	11 4%	8 4%	3 7%	4 4%	6 5%	4 7%	6 4%	3 7%	2 2%	-	8 6%
No Opinion/Refused DNR	149 19%	26 18%	38 21%	56 19%	29 16%	7 17%	20 19%	23 18%	15 27%	28 17%	8 18%	20 24%	7 13%	21 17%
Never Heard of	542 68%	102 70%	125 68%	194 66%	121 67%	26 65%	76 73%	91 71%	35 62%	111 67%	31 64%	52 65%	43 76%	78 63%
Somewhat Unfavorable	23 3%	4 3%	3 1%	7 3%	9 5%	2 5%	2 2%	2 1%	1 2%	6 4%	-	1 1%	2 4%	7 6%
Very Unfavorable	24 3%	1 1%	4 2%	8 3%	10 6%	1 2%	0 *	3 2%	1 2%	5 3%	2 4%	2 2%	1 2%	9 7%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 4 012

3. Would you say you have a favorable or unfavorable impression of the organization Americans for Tax Reform, or have you not heard of them?

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE		CAP INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	
	FAV	UNFAV									
TOTAL	801 100%	63 8%	47 6%	437 54%	265 33%	410 51%	324 40%	632 79%	122 15%	667 83%	106 13%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	16 2%	63 100%	-47 -100%	0 *	15 6%	-7 -2%	22 7%	9 1%	5 4%	9 1%	9 9%
TOTAL FAVORABLE	63 8%	63 100%	-	36 8%	24 9%	30 7%	30 9%	45 7%	13 10%	50 7%	13 12%
TOTAL UNFAVORABLE	47 6%	-	47 100%	36 8%	9 4%	36 9%	8 2%	36 6%	8 6%	41 6%	4 3%
Very Favorable	28 3%	28 43%	-	11 3%	13 5%	10 2%	15 5%	17 3%	7 6%	21 3%	7 7%
Somewhat Favorable	36 4%	36 57%	-	25 6%	11 4%	20 5%	14 4%	28 4%	6 5%	29 4%	6 6%
No Opinion/Refused DNR	149 19%	-	-	71 16%	56 21%	65 16%	67 21%	115 18%	24 20%	125 19%	20 19%
Never Heard of	542 68%	-	-	293 67%	175 66%	279 68%	219 68%	436 69%	77 64%	452 68%	70 66%
Somewhat Unfavorable	23 3%	-	23 50%	19 4%	4 1%	17 4%	5 2%	20 3%	4 3%	21 3%	1 1%
Very Unfavorable	24 3%	-	24 50%	17 4%	6 2%	19 5%	3 1%	17 3%	4 3%	20 3%	2 2%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 1 013

4. Do you support or oppose allowing the government to further negotiate the price of prescription drugs and requiring government-imposed price caps on prescription drug costs?

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	801	368	433	304	160	144	176	220	145	244	176	249	267	257
	100%	46%	54%	38%	20%	18%	22%	27%	18%	31%	22%	31%	33%	32%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	171	79	92	57	41	26	45	49	28	49	43	4	34	137
	21%	22%	21%	19%	26%	18%	25%	22%	20%	20%	24%	1%	13%	53%
TOTAL SUPPORT	437	203	233	156	97	81	95	124	77	130	99	111	135	183
	54%	55%	54%	51%	61%	56%	54%	56%	53%	53%	56%	45%	50%	71%
TOTAL OPPOSE	265	124	141	99	56	54	50	74	49	81	56	107	101	46
	33%	34%	33%	33%	35%	38%	29%	34%	34%	33%	32%	43%	38%	18%
Strongly Support	267	129	139	93	60	54	56	74	54	79	57	54	85	123
	33%	35%	32%	31%	37%	37%	32%	34%	37%	32%	32%	22%	32%	48%
Somewhat Support	169	75	94	63	37	27	39	49	24	51	42	57	50	61
	21%	20%	22%	21%	23%	19%	22%	22%	16%	21%	24%	23%	19%	24%
DK/Unsure/Refused DNR	99	41	59	48	7	9	31	22	18	33	21	31	32	28
	12%	11%	14%	16%	4%	6%	17%	10%	13%	14%	12%	12%	12%	11%
Somewhat Oppose	67	31	36	28	13	13	11	17	15	24	10	21	22	23
	8%	9%	8%	9%	8%	9%	7%	8%	10%	10%	6%	9%	8%	9%
Strongly Oppose	198	93	105	71	43	41	39	58	34	56	46	86	79	24
	25%	25%	24%	23%	27%	28%	22%	26%	24%	23%	26%	35%	29%	9%



BANNER 2 014

4. Do you support or oppose allowing the government to further negotiate the price of prescription drugs and requiring government-imposed price caps on prescription drug costs?

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
<b>TOTAL</b>	801 100%	157 20%	257 32%	187 23%	191 24%	156 19%	111 14%	136 17%	398 50%	609 76%	56 7%	88 11%	12 1%	200 25%	601 75%
<b>DIFFERENCE D/S</b> -----	171 21%	13 8%	54 21%	37 20%	69 36%	39 25%	2 2%	28 21%	102 26%	112 18%	18 31%	29 33%	5 42%	40 20%	131 22%
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	437 54%	74 47%	139 54%	101 54%	120 63%	87 56%	46 41%	74 54%	230 58%	326 54%	33 60%	53 60%	7 55%	103 52%	333 55%
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	265 33%	61 39%	85 33%	64 34%	51 27%	47 30%	44 39%	46 34%	128 32%	214 35%	16 28%	23 27%	2 13%	63 32%	202 34%
<b>Strongly Support</b>	267 33%	44 28%	88 34%	58 31%	75 39%	52 34%	29 26%	46 33%	140 35%	196 32%	22 39%	32 36%	6 46%	64 32%	203 34%
<b>Somewhat Support</b>	169 21%	30 19%	51 20%	43 23%	45 24%	35 22%	16 15%	28 21%	90 23%	130 21%	11 20%	21 24%	1 8%	39 19%	130 22%
<b>DK/Unsure/Refused DNR</b>	99 12%	21 14%	34 13%	21 11%	19 10%	22 14%	22 20%	16 12%	39 10%	69 11%	7 12%	12 13%	4 32%	34 17%	66 11%
<b>Somewhat Oppose</b>	67 8%	10 7%	23 9%	18 10%	15 8%	15 10%	14 13%	12 9%	26 7%	51 8%	5 10%	6 7%	-	11 6%	56 9%
<b>Strongly Oppose</b>	198 25%	51 33%	62 24%	46 25%	37 19%	32 21%	30 27%	34 25%	102 26%	162 27%	10 18%	17 20%	2 13%	52 26%	146 24%



BANNER 3 015

4. Do you support or oppose allowing the government to further negotiate the price of prescription drugs and requiring government-imposed price caps on prescription drug costs?

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS									
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAN	PACIFIC	
TOTAL	801	144	184	292	180	40	104	128	56	164	48	80	56	124	
	100%	18%	23%	36%	22%	5%	13%	16%	7%	21%	6%	10%	7%	15%	
DIFFERENCE D/S	171	34	32	64	41	17	17	26	6	48	9	7	13	27	
-----	21%	24%	18%	22%	23%	43%	16%	20%	11%	29%	20%	8%	24%	22%	
TOTAL SUPPORT	437	81	95	160	101	27	54	69	27	96	26	38	33	68	
	54%	56%	52%	55%	56%	66%	52%	54%	48%	58%	53%	48%	59%	54%	
TOTAL OPPOSE	265	47	63	95	60	9	37	43	20	48	16	31	20	40	
	33%	32%	34%	33%	33%	24%	36%	33%	36%	29%	34%	39%	35%	33%	
Strongly Support	267	46	58	103	60	16	30	40	19	67	17	20	18	42	
	33%	32%	32%	35%	33%	39%	29%	31%	34%	41%	35%	24%	31%	34%	
Somewhat Support	169	35	37	57	41	11	24	29	8	29	9	19	15	25	
	21%	24%	20%	19%	23%	27%	23%	23%	14%	18%	18%	23%	28%	21%	
DK/Unsure/Refused DNR	99	17	26	37	19	4	13	17	9	21	6	10	3	16	
	12%	12%	14%	13%	11%	10%	12%	13%	16%	13%	13%	13%	6%	13%	
Somewhat Oppose	67	13	19	20	15	1	12	14	6	14	2	3	4	11	
	8%	9%	11%	7%	8%	2%	11%	11%	11%	9%	5%	4%	8%	9%	
Strongly Oppose	198	34	44	75	45	9	26	29	14	34	14	28	15	30	
	25%	24%	24%	26%	25%	21%	25%	23%	26%	20%	28%	35%	27%	24%	



BANNER 4 016

4. Do you support or oppose allowing the government to further negotiate the price of prescription drugs and requiring government-imposed price caps on prescription drug costs?

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE CAP		INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
<b>TOTAL</b>	801	63	47	437	265	410	324	632	122	667	106
	100%	8%	6%	54%	33%	51%	40%	79%	15%	83%	13%
<b>DIFFERENCE D/S</b> -----	171	12	27	437	-265	316	-160	161	4	174	-10
	21%	18%	57%	100%	-100%	77%	-49%	26%	3%	26%	-9%
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	437	36	36	437	-	348	62	358	57	383	41
	54%	57%	77%	100%		85%	19%	57%	47%	57%	39%
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	265	24	9	-	265	32	222	197	53	209	51
	33%	39%	20%		100%	8%	69%	31%	44%	31%	48%
<b>Strongly Support</b>	267	28	26	267	-	221	34	220	32	231	29
	33%	44%	56%	61%		54%	10%	35%	26%	35%	27%
<b>Somewhat Support</b>	169	8	10	169	-	127	28	138	25	151	12
	21%	13%	21%	39%		31%	9%	22%	21%	23%	12%
<b>DK/Unsure/Refused DNR</b>	99	3	2	-	-	31	39	77	11	76	14
	12%	4%	4%			7%	12%	12%	9%	11%	13%
<b>Somewhat Oppose</b>	67	1	4	-	67	10	54	57	10	58	8
	8%	2%	8%		25%	2%	17%	9%	8%	9%	7%
<b>Strongly Oppose</b>	198	23	6	-	198	22	168	140	43	151	43
	25%	37%	12%		75%	5%	52%	22%	36%	23%	41%





BANNER 1 017  
5. The National Bureau of Economic Research concluded that mandating price cuts in the United States would lead to fewer research and development (R&D) projects to develop new lifesaving drugs.

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	398	187	211	156	75	76	82	110	76	121	83	129	130	127
	100%	47%	53%	39%	19%	19%	21%	28%	19%	30%	21%	32%	33%	32%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	12	7	5	5	-5	-6	21	-1	9	2	6	-13	0	28
	3%	4%	2%	4%	-6%	-8%	26%	-1%	11%	2%	8%	-10%	*	22%
TOTAL Support	170	83	87	69	28	26	46	47	36	49	36	48	55	64
	43%	45%	41%	44%	37%	34%	56%	43%	47%	41%	44%	38%	42%	51%
TOTAL Oppose	159	76	82	63	33	32	25	48	27	47	30	62	55	37
	40%	41%	39%	40%	43%	42%	31%	44%	36%	39%	36%	48%	42%	29%
Strng Sppt	84	43	41	35	17	11	21	23	19	29	12	16	28	38
	21%	23%	19%	23%	22%	14%	25%	21%	25%	24%	15%	13%	21%	30%
Smwt Sppt	87	41	46	33	11	15	26	24	17	20	24	32	27	26
	22%	22%	22%	21%	15%	20%	31%	22%	22%	17%	29%	25%	21%	21%
No Diff	45	20	26	12	10	12	11	9	11	13	12	12	13	19
	11%	11%	12%	8%	14%	16%	13%	8%	14%	11%	15%	9%	10%	15%
DK/Ref DNR:	24	7	16	12	4	6	-	5	2	11	4	7	7	7
	6%	4%	8%	8%	6%	8%		5%	2%	9%	5%	6%	6%	5%
Smwt Opp	67	28	39	30	13	11	10	17	10	26	11	16	28	21
	17%	15%	19%	19%	18%	14%	12%	16%	13%	22%	14%	12%	22%	16%
Strng Opp	92	49	43	33	19	21	15	31	17	21	19	46	27	16
	23%	26%	20%	21%	26%	27%	18%	28%	23%	17%	22%	36%	21%	12%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 2 018

5. The National Bureau of Economic Research concluded that mandating price cuts in the United States would lead to fewer research and development (R&D) projects to develop new lifesaving drugs.

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	398 100%	81 20%	129 32%	95 24%	88 22%	85 21%	51 13%	61 15%	202 51%	311 78%	24 6%	36 9%	7 2%	105 26%	293 74%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	12 3%	-4 -5%	8 6%	-6 -7%	16 19%	-4 -5%	5 10%	6 10%	5 2%	11 4%	1 3%	2 5%	-3 -39%	-6 -6%	18 6%
TOTAL Support	170 43%	33 40%	56 43%	36 38%	44 51%	33 39%	23 45%	31 51%	84 41%	133 43%	11 47%	15 43%	1 20%	38 36%	133 45%
TOTAL Oppose	159 40%	37 46%	48 37%	42 45%	28 32%	37 44%	18 35%	25 41%	79 39%	122 39%	10 44%	14 38%	4 59%	44 42%	114 39%
Strng Sppt	84 21%	17 21%	21 16%	17 17%	29 33%	17 20%	11 22%	14 22%	42 21%	63 20%	7 29%	7 20%	1 20%	19 18%	65 22%
Smwt Sppt	87 22%	16 20%	35 27%	19 21%	15 17%	16 19%	12 23%	17 29%	41 21%	70 22%	4 18%	8 23%	-	19 18%	68 23%
No Diff	45 11%	6 7%	17 13%	13 14%	9 11%	8 10%	7 13%	3 6%	27 13%	35 11%	1 5%	6 16%	1 21%	15 15%	30 10%
DK/Ref DNR:	24 6%	6 7%	9 7%	4 4%	6 6%	7 8%	3 6%	1 2%	13 6%	21 7%	1 4%	1 3%	-	7 7%	16 6%
Smwt Opp	67 17%	13 15%	24 19%	20 21%	9 11%	17 20%	12 24%	8 13%	30 15%	46 15%	7 30%	8 23%	1 14%	20 19%	47 16%
Strng Opp	92 23%	25 30%	24 18%	22 23%	19 22%	20 23%	6 11%	17 28%	49 24%	75 24%	3 14%	5 15%	3 45%	25 23%	67 23%



BANNER 3 019  
5. The National Bureau of Economic Research concluded that mandating price cuts in the United States would lead to fewer research and development (R&D) projects to develop new lifesaving drugs.

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS									
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAN	PACIFIC	
TOTAL	398	68	82	149	99	20	48	54	28	89	22	38	29	70	
	100%	17%	21%	37%	25%	5%	12%	14%	7%	22%	5%	9%	7%	18%	
DIFFERENCE D/S	12	-8	1	19	0	-4	-4	-1	2	18	6	-5	-0	0	
-----	3%	-11%	1%	13%	*	-18%	-9%	-2%	8%	20%	25%	-12%	-0%	*	
TOTAL Support	170	26	32	73	40	6	19	21	11	48	12	13	11	29	
	43%	37%	39%	49%	41%	32%	40%	38%	40%	53%	55%	35%	39%	41%	
TOTAL Oppose	159	33	31	54	40	10	23	22	9	30	6	18	12	29	
	40%	49%	38%	36%	41%	50%	48%	41%	32%	34%	29%	47%	40%	41%	
Strng Sppt	84	11	17	39	16	4	7	10	7	26	6	8	5	10	
	21%	17%	21%	26%	16%	19%	15%	18%	27%	29%	26%	21%	18%	15%	
Smwt Sppt	87	14	14	33	25	3	12	11	4	22	6	6	6	19	
	22%	21%	18%	23%	25%	13%	24%	20%	13%	24%	29%	15%	21%	27%	
No Diff	45	5	15	14	12	1	4	9	6	8	2	4	3	9	
	11%	7%	18%	9%	12%	4%	8%	17%	21%	9%	10%	11%	10%	13%	
DK/Ref DNR:	24	5	4	8	7	3	2	2	2	4	1	2	3	3	
	6%	7%	5%	5%	7%	14%	4%	4%	7%	5%	6%	6%	11%	5%	
Smwt Opp	67	17	17	17	16	3	14	12	5	10	2	5	3	13	
	17%	24%	21%	12%	16%	13%	29%	22%	17%	12%	10%	13%	12%	18%	
Strng Opp	92	17	14	37	24	7	9	10	4	20	4	13	8	16	
	23%	24%	17%	25%	24%	37%	19%	18%	15%	22%	19%	35%	28%	23%	

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 4 020  
5. The National Bureau of Economic Research concluded that mandating price cuts in the United States would lead to fewer research and development (R&D) projects to develop new lifesaving drugs.

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE CAP		INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTIONER		INF PRACTIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
TOTAL	398	34	27	218	129	211	156	315	58	333	53
	100%	8%	7%	55%	32%	53%	39%	79%	15%	84%	13%
DIFFERENCE D/S	12	-10	6	65	-54	86	-77	13	-3	17	-6
-----	3%	-28%	23%	30%	-42%	41%	-49%	4%	-5%	5%	-11%
TOTAL Support	170	9	13	122	30	132	28	138	21	147	19
	43%	27%	49%	56%	24%	63%	18%	44%	37%	44%	36%
TOTAL Oppose	159	19	7	58	84	46	105	125	24	130	25
	40%	56%	25%	26%	65%	22%	67%	40%	42%	39%	47%
Strng Sppt	84	5	9	64	15	69	10	68	8	72	8
	21%	15%	32%	30%	12%	33%	7%	21%	14%	22%	16%
Smwt Sppt	87	4	4	58	16	63	18	71	14	75	11
	22%	13%	16%	27%	12%	30%	11%	22%	23%	23%	20%
No Diff	45	5	4	26	11	25	16	33	11	37	7
	11%	16%	16%	12%	9%	12%	10%	10%	19%	11%	14%
DK/Ref DNR:	24	0	3	12	3	7	8	18	1	20	1
	6%	1%	10%	5%	2%	4%	5%	6%	2%	6%	2%
Smwt Opp	67	7	2	31	25	27	34	50	12	54	12
	17%	22%	7%	14%	19%	13%	22%	16%	21%	16%	23%
Strng Opp	92	12	5	27	59	19	71	76	12	76	13
	23%	34%	19%	12%	46%	9%	45%	24%	21%	23%	24%



BANNER 1  
021

6. Putting price caps on prescription drugs cuts the return that companies receive for the sale of drugs. It can cost over two billion dollars to introduce them into the US market. This would largely inhibit the United States ability to lead in providing lifesaving medications to people around the world.

	GENDER			AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY		
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	403	188	215	155	80	73	88	115	73	121	88	127	131	131
	100%	47%	53%	38%	20%	18%	22%	29%	18%	30%	22%	32%	32%	33%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	32	21	12	20	9	-10	14	15	5	13	-0	1	-13	47
	8%	11%	5%	13%	11%	-13%	16%	13%	7%	11%	-0%	1%	-10%	36%
TOTAL Support	172	84	88	71	36	24	39	53	31	55	33	51	45	73
	43%	45%	41%	46%	45%	33%	45%	46%	42%	46%	37%	40%	34%	56%
TOTAL Oppose	140	63	77	52	28	34	25	38	26	42	33	50	58	26
	35%	34%	36%	33%	34%	47%	28%	33%	35%	35%	38%	39%	44%	20%
Strng Sppt	73	45	28	26	18	11	18	29	16	15	12	16	22	32
	18%	24%	13%	17%	23%	15%	20%	25%	22%	13%	14%	13%	16%	25%
Smwt Sppt	99	39	60	45	18	14	22	24	15	40	21	35	24	41
	25%	21%	28%	29%	23%	19%	25%	21%	20%	33%	23%	27%	18%	31%
No Diff	55	30	25	19	10	11	14	16	14	13	10	17	17	20
	14%	16%	12%	12%	12%	15%	16%	14%	20%	11%	12%	13%	13%	15%
DK/Ref DNR:	35	11	24	13	6	4	10	9	2	11	12	10	11	13
	9%	6%	11%	8%	8%	5%	11%	8%	3%	9%	13%	7%	8%	10%
Smwt Opp	53	20	32	21	12	11	8	10	10	22	9	16	20	15
	13%	11%	15%	14%	15%	14%	9%	9%	13%	19%	10%	13%	16%	11%
Strng Opp	88	43	44	31	16	23	17	27	16	19	24	33	38	11
	22%	23%	21%	20%	20%	32%	20%	24%	22%	16%	28%	26%	29%	9%



BANNER 2 022

6. Putting price caps on prescription drugs cuts the return that companies receive for the sale of drugs. It can cost over two billion dollars to introduce them into the US market. This would largely inhibit the United States ability to lead in providing lifesaving medications to people around the world.

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	403	77	123	95	103	79	49	74	200	312	32	42	1	97	306
	100%	19%	30%	24%	26%	20%	12%	18%	50%	77%	8%	10%	*	24%	76%
DIFFERENCE D/S	32	4	1	8	20	2	-5	12	23	9	7	12	1	-6	38
-----	8%	6%	1%	8%	19%	2%	-9%	17%	11%	3%	23%	29%	100%	-6%	12%
TOTAL Support	172	31	47	45	49	32	14	36	91	125	17	22	1	32	140
	43%	40%	38%	47%	47%	40%	28%	48%	45%	40%	54%	52%	100%	33%	46%
TOTAL Oppose	140	27	45	37	29	30	19	23	68	115	10	9	-	38	102
	35%	35%	37%	39%	28%	38%	38%	32%	34%	37%	31%	23%	-	39%	33%
Strng Sppt	73	8	14	23	27	13	7	16	38	56	5	8	1	17	56
	18%	11%	12%	24%	26%	16%	13%	21%	19%	18%	17%	20%	100%	18%	18%
Smwt Sppt	99	23	32	21	22	19	7	20	52	69	12	13	-	15	84
	25%	29%	26%	23%	21%	24%	15%	27%	26%	22%	37%	32%	-	16%	27%
No Diff	55	9	23	8	16	12	11	11	21	43	5	7	-	16	39
	14%	11%	18%	8%	16%	15%	22%	15%	11%	14%	15%	16%	-	17%	13%
DK/Ref DNR:	35	10	8	6	9	6	6	4	20	29	-	4	-	11	24
	9%	14%	6%	6%	9%	7%	12%	5%	10%	9%	-	9%	-	11%	8%
Smwt Opp	53	13	15	14	9	9	7	8	29	43	5	2	-	13	39
	13%	17%	13%	15%	9%	11%	14%	11%	14%	14%	17%	6%	-	14%	13%
Strng Opp	88	14	30	22	20	21	11	15	40	73	5	7	-	25	63
	22%	18%	25%	24%	19%	27%	23%	21%	20%	23%	14%	17%	-	25%	21%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 3 023  
6. Putting price caps on prescription drugs cuts the return that companies receive for the sale of drugs. It can cost over two billion dollars to introduce them into the US market. This would largely inhibit the United States ability to lead in providing lifesaving medications to people around the world.

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS									
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTC	E CENTRAL	NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAN	PACIFIC
TOTAL	403	72	100	138	93	18	53	70	30	77	22	39	31	62	
	100%	18%	25%	34%	23%	5%	13%	17%	8%	19%	5%	10%	8%	15%	
DIFFERENCE D/S	32	5	-2	19	10	5	1	-2	0	16	-4	7	4	6	
-----	8%	7%	-2%	14%	11%	25%	2%	-3%	1%	21%	-20%	17%	12%	10%	
TOTAL Support	172	31	34	67	41	9	22	24	10	41	7	19	13	27	
	43%	43%	34%	48%	43%	50%	41%	35%	32%	53%	30%	49%	42%	44%	
TOTAL Oppose	140	26	36	48	31	5	21	26	9	25	11	12	9	21	
	35%	36%	36%	35%	33%	26%	39%	38%	31%	32%	51%	32%	30%	34%	
Strng Sppt	73	13	14	29	17	4	10	9	5	17	3	9	6	11	
	18%	19%	14%	21%	18%	20%	18%	13%	16%	21%	14%	24%	19%	18%	
Smwt Sppt	99	18	20	38	23	6	12	15	5	25	4	10	7	16	
	25%	25%	20%	27%	25%	30%	23%	22%	16%	32%	17%	25%	23%	26%	
No Diff	55	11	20	13	11	4	7	12	8	8	1	4	3	8	
	14%	15%	20%	10%	12%	19%	13%	17%	26%	10%	4%	11%	10%	13%	
DK/Ref DNR:	35	4	10	10	11	1	3	7	3	3	3	3	6	6	
	9%	6%	10%	7%	12%	5%	6%	10%	11%	4%	15%	9%	18%	9%	
Smwt Opp	53	10	17	18	7	1	10	12	6	12	4	2	-	7	
	13%	15%	17%	13%	7%	5%	18%	17%	19%	15%	19%	6%		10%	
Strng Opp	88	15	19	30	24	4	11	15	4	13	7	10	9	15	
	22%	21%	19%	22%	26%	21%	21%	21%	12%	17%	32%	26%	30%	24%	



BANNER 4 024  
6. Putting price caps on prescription drugs cuts the return that companies receive for the sale of drugs. It can cost over two billion dollars to introduce them into the US market. This would largely inhibit the United States ability to lead in providing lifesaving medications to people around the world.

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE CAP		INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
TOTAL	403	30	23	212	139	210	163	324	59	336	52
	100%	7%	6%	53%	34%	52%	40%	80%	15%	83%	13%
DIFFERENCE D/S	32	0	5	84	-53	106	-73	48	-15	45	-13
-----	8%	2%	22%	40%	-38%	51%	-45%	15%	-25%	13%	-25%
TOTAL Support	172	14	12	122	33	138	31	149	17	154	16
	43%	47%	52%	58%	23%	66%	19%	46%	29%	46%	30%
TOTAL Oppose	140	14	7	38	86	32	104	102	32	109	29
	35%	46%	30%	18%	62%	15%	64%	31%	54%	32%	55%
Strng Sppt	73	6	8	59	12	65	7	63	9	65	7
	18%	21%	37%	28%	9%	31%	4%	20%	15%	19%	13%
Smwt Sppt	99	8	3	64	20	72	24	86	8	89	9
	25%	26%	15%	30%	15%	34%	15%	27%	14%	26%	17%
No Diff	55	1	2	35	12	29	19	46	7	44	4
	14%	3%	9%	17%	9%	14%	11%	14%	13%	13%	9%
DK/Ref DNR:	35	1	2	16	8	11	9	27	2	29	3
	9%	3%	9%	7%	6%	5%	6%	8%	4%	9%	6%
Smwt Opp	53	4	2	21	22	18	32	38	14	39	13
	13%	12%	8%	10%	16%	9%	20%	12%	23%	11%	25%
Strng Opp	88	10	5	17	64	13	72	64	18	70	16
	22%	34%	22%	8%	46%	6%	44%	20%	31%	21%	30%





BANNER 1 025  
7. Although the price of medications would be lower for consumers in the short term, over time less companies will be able to produce life-saving prescription medication, which shortens our supply and hurts the those who need prescription drugs the most.

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	424	186	239	162	88	69	95	113	70	137	94	139	133	137
	100%	44%	56%	38%	21%	16%	22%	27%	16%	32%	22%	33%	31%	32%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	-12	-10	-3	1	-10	4	-6	-7	-1	-1	-1	-30	-1	22
	-3%	-5%	-1%	1%	-11%	5%	-6%	-6%	-2%	-1%	-1%	-22%	-1%	16%
TOTAL Support	152	62	91	58	29	30	32	35	26	52	36	38	47	62
	36%	33%	38%	36%	33%	43%	34%	31%	38%	38%	39%	27%	35%	46%
TOTAL Oppose	165	71	93	57	39	27	38	42	28	54	37	68	48	41
	39%	38%	39%	35%	44%	38%	40%	37%	40%	39%	40%	49%	37%	30%
Strng Sppt	82	40	42	31	20	17	14	23	17	28	14	18	24	36
	19%	22%	18%	19%	23%	25%	15%	20%	25%	20%	15%	13%	18%	27%
Smwt Sppt	70	21	49	27	10	13	19	12	9	24	23	19	23	26
	17%	12%	20%	17%	11%	19%	20%	11%	13%	18%	24%	14%	17%	19%
No Diff	68	39	29	32	13	10	12	29	10	15	13	22	23	22
	16%	21%	12%	20%	14%	14%	13%	26%	14%	11%	13%	16%	18%	16%
DK/Ref DNR:	39	14	25	16	7	3	12	7	6	16	8	11	14	12
	9%	7%	11%	10%	8%	4%	12%	6%	9%	12%	9%	8%	10%	9%
Smwt Opp	56	21	34	22	14	6	13	13	8	23	11	23	13	16
	13%	12%	14%	13%	16%	9%	14%	12%	12%	17%	12%	17%	10%	12%
Strng Opp	109	50	59	35	25	20	26	29	20	31	26	45	35	25
	26%	27%	25%	22%	28%	29%	27%	26%	28%	22%	28%	32%	26%	18%



BANNER 2 026  
7. Although the price of medications would be lower for consumers in the short term, over time less companies will be able to produce life-saving prescription medication, which shortens our supply and hurts the those who need prescription drugs the most.

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	424 100%	86 20%	138 32%	98 23%	99 23%	82 19%	62 15%	71 17%	210 49%	314 74%	32 8%	51 12%	8 2%	101 24%	323 76%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	-12 -3%	-8 -9%	-8 -6%	-7 -7%	9 9%	-7 -8%	-1 -1%	1 2%	-6 -3%	-27 -9%	3 9%	6 12%	3 32%	-10 -10%	-2 -1%
TOTAL Support	152 36%	30 35%	48 35%	33 34%	39 39%	28 34%	21 35%	27 39%	76 36%	105 33%	12 36%	23 46%	4 51%	30 30%	122 38%
TOTAL Oppose	165 39%	38 44%	56 41%	40 41%	30 30%	34 42%	22 36%	26 37%	82 39%	132 42%	9 27%	17 34%	2 19%	40 40%	124 38%
Strng Sppt	82 19%	11 13%	26 19%	15 15%	29 29%	14 17%	11 17%	14 20%	43 21%	53 17%	7 21%	14 28%	4 51%	17 17%	65 20%
Smwt Sppt	70 17%	19 22%	22 16%	18 18%	10 10%	13 16%	11 18%	13 19%	33 16%	52 17%	5 15%	9 18%	-	14 13%	57 18%
No Diff	68 16%	7 9%	21 15%	15 15%	25 25%	14 16%	12 20%	8 12%	34 16%	50 16%	10 30%	3 6%	1 18%	24 24%	44 14%
DK/Ref DNR:	39 9%	11 13%	12 9%	10 10%	6 6%	7 8%	6 9%	9 12%	18 8%	27 9%	2 7%	7 14%	1 12%	7 6%	32 10%
Smwt Opp	56 13%	8 10%	18 13%	17 18%	11 11%	14 17%	8 13%	4 6%	30 14%	42 13%	6 17%	6 12%	-	13 13%	42 13%
Strng Opp	109 26%	29 34%	38 27%	22 23%	19 19%	21 25%	14 23%	22 31%	52 25%	90 29%	3 10%	11 22%	2 19%	27 27%	82 25%



BANNER 3 027  
7. Although the price of medications would be lower for consumers in the short term, over time less companies will be able to produce life-saving prescription medication, which shortens our supply and hurts the those who need prescription drugs the most.

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS									
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAN	PACIFIC	
TOTAL	424	77	91	162	94	19	58	69	23	83	30	49	29	65	
	100%	18%	22%	38%	22%	5%	14%	16%	5%	20%	7%	11%	7%	15%	
DIFFERENCE D/S	-12	-5	-7	-9	9	-2	-3	-9	2	-6	-6	4	-6	15	
-----	-3%	-7%	-7%	-6%	9%	-9%	-6%	-13%	8%	-8%	-21%	8%	-22%	23%	
TOTAL Support	152	29	28	59	36	7	23	22	6	29	10	20	6	30	
	36%	38%	30%	36%	39%	36%	39%	32%	24%	35%	33%	41%	22%	46%	
TOTAL Oppose	165	35	35	68	28	9	26	31	4	35	16	16	12	15	
	39%	45%	38%	42%	29%	44%	45%	45%	17%	42%	54%	34%	43%	23%	
Strng Sppt	82	12	12	36	23	2	10	9	3	20	6	9	5	18	
	19%	15%	13%	22%	25%	11%	17%	13%	12%	25%	21%	18%	18%	28%	
Smwt Sppt	70	18	16	23	13	5	13	13	3	8	4	11	1	12	
	17%	23%	18%	14%	14%	25%	22%	19%	13%	10%	12%	23%	3%	19%	
No Diff	68	9	19	20	20	2	7	11	8	9	4	8	8	12	
	16%	12%	21%	12%	21%	11%	13%	16%	34%	11%	12%	16%	28%	18%	
DK/Ref DNR:	39	4	10	15	10	2	2	5	6	10	-	4	2	8	
	9%	5%	11%	9%	11%	9%	3%	7%	25%	12%		9%	7%	12%	
Smwt Opp	56	12	12	20	11	2	10	10	2	14	4	3	5	7	
	13%	15%	13%	13%	12%	9%	17%	15%	8%	17%	13%	5%	16%	10%	
Strng Opp	109	23	22	47	16	7	16	20	2	21	12	14	8	8	
	26%	30%	24%	29%	17%	35%	28%	30%	8%	26%	41%	28%	28%	13%	



BANNER 4 028  
7. Although the price of medications would be lower for consumers in the short term, over time less companies will be able to produce life-saving prescription medication, which shortens our supply and hurts the those who need prescription drugs the most.

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE		CAP INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
TOTAL	424	33	25	241	136	214	172	335	67	358	51
	100%	8%	6%	57%	32%	50%	41%	79%	16%	84%	12%
DIFFERENCE D/S	-12	-5	4	51	-56	80	-92	1	-11	2	-12
-----	-3%	-15%	15%	21%	-41%	38%	-53%	*	-17%	1%	-24%
TOTAL Support	152	10	11	113	28	115	28	128	19	139	12
	36%	29%	44%	47%	21%	54%	16%	38%	28%	39%	23%
TOTAL Oppose	165	15	7	63	84	35	119	127	30	137	24
	39%	44%	29%	26%	62%	16%	69%	38%	45%	38%	47%
Strng Sppt	82	4	9	64	14	68	11	67	10	76	6
	19%	14%	35%	27%	10%	32%	6%	20%	14%	21%	11%
Smwt Sppt	70	5	2	49	14	47	17	61	10	63	6
	17%	16%	9%	20%	10%	22%	10%	18%	14%	18%	12%
No Diff	68	5	7	45	12	46	14	48	14	52	12
	16%	17%	27%	19%	9%	22%	8%	14%	22%	14%	24%
DK/Ref DNR:	39	3	-	19	11	18	12	31	3	30	3
	9%	9%		8%	8%	8%	7%	9%	5%	8%	6%
Smwt Opp	56	2	-	29	15	21	27	42	10	44	9
	13%	5%		12%	11%	10%	16%	13%	15%	12%	18%
Strng Opp	109	13	7	33	69	14	92	85	20	93	15
	26%	39%	29%	14%	51%	6%	53%	25%	30%	26%	29%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 1 029  
8. The government controlling things like the prices of prescription drugs is a slippery-slope to letting the government control the prices of other products Americans everywhere rely on to live healthy lives.

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	406	191	215	151	84	75	90	114	75	121	90	111	153	131
	100%	47%	53%	37%	21%	19%	22%	28%	18%	30%	22%	27%	38%	32%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	-11	5	-16	9	-4	-5	-9	11	-4	-5	-10	-26	-16	32
	-3%	3%	-8%	6%	-4%	-7%	-10%	9%	-6%	-4%	-11%	-23%	-10%	24%
TOTAL Support	159	82	77	65	33	29	32	54	28	45	32	34	54	67
	39%	43%	36%	43%	39%	38%	35%	47%	38%	37%	36%	31%	36%	51%
TOTAL Oppose	170	77	93	56	36	34	40	43	33	50	42	60	70	35
	42%	40%	43%	37%	43%	46%	45%	38%	44%	41%	47%	54%	46%	27%
Strng Sppt	94	46	48	36	21	20	16	27	19	30	17	17	27	48
	23%	24%	22%	24%	25%	27%	18%	24%	26%	25%	19%	15%	18%	36%
Smwt Sppt	65	36	29	29	12	9	15	27	9	14	15	17	28	20
	16%	19%	14%	19%	14%	12%	17%	23%	12%	12%	17%	15%	18%	15%
No Diff	43	19	25	13	12	7	8	12	5	13	10	9	19	14
	11%	10%	11%	8%	14%	10%	9%	10%	7%	11%	11%	8%	12%	11%
DK/Ref DNR:	34	13	20	17	3	5	10	5	8	14	6	8	10	14
	8%	7%	9%	11%	4%	6%	11%	5%	11%	12%	7%	7%	6%	10%
Smwt Opp	55	19	36	16	14	7	18	11	8	19	17	17	18	19
	14%	10%	17%	10%	17%	10%	20%	10%	11%	16%	19%	15%	11%	15%
Strng Opp	115	58	57	40	23	27	22	32	25	31	25	43	53	16
	28%	30%	26%	27%	27%	36%	25%	28%	33%	25%	28%	38%	34%	12%



BANNER 2 030  
8. The government controlling things like the prices of prescription drugs is a slippery-slope to letting the government control the prices of other products Americans everywhere rely on to live healthy lives.

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	406	74	140	88	100	77	63	67	199	308	23	50	8	109	296
	100%	18%	34%	22%	25%	19%	16%	17%	49%	76%	6%	12%	2%	27%	73%
DIFFERENCE D/S	-11	-7	-12	-2	12	7	-12	5	-10	-20	-2	6	3	-3	-8
-----	-3%	-9%	-9%	-2%	12%	9%	-20%	7%	-5%	-6%	-8%	12%	36%	-2%	-3%
TOTAL Support	159	25	51	36	47	36	19	30	74	115	9	22	4	40	119
	39%	33%	37%	40%	47%	47%	30%	44%	37%	37%	41%	45%	55%	37%	40%
TOTAL Oppose	170	32	64	38	35	29	32	25	84	135	11	16	1	43	127
	42%	42%	46%	43%	35%	38%	50%	37%	43%	44%	49%	33%	19%	39%	43%
Strng Sppt	94	12	34	19	29	15	15	17	47	64	3	18	4	25	69
	23%	17%	24%	21%	29%	20%	23%	26%	24%	21%	14%	35%	55%	23%	23%
Smwt Sppt	65	12	17	17	18	21	5	12	27	51	6	5	-	15	50
	16%	16%	12%	19%	18%	27%	7%	18%	14%	17%	28%	9%	-	14%	17%
No Diff	43	8	12	8	14	7	3	7	27	31	2	5	2	14	29
	11%	11%	9%	9%	14%	9%	5%	10%	13%	10%	9%	11%	26%	13%	10%
DK/Ref DNR:	34	10	12	7	3	5	9	6	13	26	-	6	-	12	21
	8%	14%	9%	8%	3%	7%	15%	9%	7%	8%	-	12%	-	11%	7%
Smwt Opp	55	8	23	10	14	5	10	10	30	38	7	9	-	10	45
	14%	10%	16%	12%	14%	7%	16%	15%	15%	12%	30%	17%	-	9%	15%
Strng Opp	115	24	41	28	21	24	21	15	55	97	5	8	1	33	82
	28%	32%	29%	31%	21%	31%	34%	22%	28%	31%	20%	16%	19%	30%	28%



BANNER 3 031  
8. The government controlling things like the prices of prescription drugs is a slippery-slope to letting the government control the prices of other products Americans everywhere rely on to live healthy lives.

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS									
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAN	PACIFIC	
TOTAL	406	71	100	159	77	22	49	63	36	91	25	43	25	52	
	100%	18%	25%	39%	19%	6%	12%	16%	9%	22%	6%	11%	6%	13%	
DIFFERENCE D/S	-11	7	-9	-12	2	7	0	-10	2	-4	-3	-4	-3	5	
-----	-3%	10%	-9%	-7%	3%	31%	*	-16%	5%	-5%	-11%	-11%	-13%	10%	
TOTAL Support	159	34	33	60	32	13	21	19	14	35	9	16	9	23	
	39%	48%	33%	38%	42%	59%	42%	30%	38%	39%	38%	36%	37%	44%	
TOTAL Oppose	170	27	41	72	30	6	21	30	12	40	12	20	12	17	
	42%	38%	42%	45%	39%	28%	42%	47%	33%	43%	50%	47%	50%	33%	
Strng Sppt	94	21	19	33	22	7	14	9	10	23	2	7	5	17	
	23%	29%	19%	21%	29%	30%	29%	14%	27%	26%	10%	16%	20%	33%	
Smwt Sppt	65	13	14	27	10	6	7	10	4	12	7	9	4	6	
	16%	18%	14%	17%	13%	29%	14%	16%	11%	13%	28%	20%	17%	11%	
No Diff	43	6	15	14	8	3	3	7	8	9	1	4	1	7	
	11%	9%	15%	9%	11%	13%	7%	11%	21%	10%	4%	9%	4%	14%	
DK/Ref DNR:	34	4	10	13	7	-	4	7	3	7	2	3	2	4	
	8%	6%	10%	8%	9%		9%	12%	8%	8%	8%	8%	9%	9%	
Smwt Opp	55	11	14	19	11	1	10	9	5	11	5	2	4	7	
	14%	15%	14%	12%	15%	4%	21%	15%	14%	12%	22%	5%	17%	14%	
Strng Opp	115	16	27	53	18	5	11	20	7	29	7	18	8	10	
	28%	22%	27%	34%	24%	24%	22%	32%	19%	31%	28%	42%	33%	20%	

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 4 032  
8. The government controlling things like the prices of prescription drugs is a slippery-slope to letting the government control the prices of other products Americans everywhere rely on to live healthy lives.

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE CAP		INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
TOTAL	406	35	22	220	137	199	172	315	64	334	59
	100%	9%	5%	54%	34%	49%	42%	78%	16%	82%	15%
DIFFERENCE D/S	-11	-8	4	80	-80	97	-106	6	-17	13	-23
-----	-3%	-22%	16%	36%	-59%	49%	-62%	2%	-27%	4%	-39%
TOTAL Support	159	11	11	130	18	129	22	129	20	141	15
	39%	32%	50%	59%	13%	65%	13%	41%	32%	42%	25%
TOTAL Oppose	170	19	7	50	98	32	128	123	38	127	38
	42%	54%	34%	23%	72%	16%	75%	39%	59%	38%	65%
Strng Sppt	94	9	11	79	11	79	10	71	15	79	13
	23%	26%	50%	36%	8%	40%	6%	22%	24%	24%	22%
Smwt Sppt	65	2	-	51	7	50	13	58	5	62	2
	16%	6%		23%	5%	25%	7%	18%	8%	19%	3%
No Diff	43	3	3	25	13	25	13	37	2	37	3
	11%	8%	16%	11%	9%	12%	8%	12%	3%	11%	4%
DK/Ref DNR:	34	2	-	16	7	14	8	26	4	28	3
	8%	6%		7%	5%	7%	4%	8%	7%	8%	6%
Smwt Opp	55	6	1	23	21	18	31	43	11	44	10
	14%	17%	4%	10%	16%	9%	18%	14%	17%	13%	16%
Strng Opp	115	13	6	27	77	14	97	80	27	83	28
	28%	37%	30%	12%	56%	7%	57%	25%	42%	25%	48%





BANNER 1 033  
9. Now that you've heard more information... do you support or oppose allowing the government to further negotiate the price of prescription drugs and requiring government-imposed price caps on prescription drug costs?

	GENDER			AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY		
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	801 100%	368 46%	433 54%	304 38%	160 20%	144 18%	176 22%	220 27%	145 18%	244 31%	176 22%	249 31%	267 33%	257 32%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	87 11%	56 15%	31 7%	46 15%	6 4%	15 10%	21 12%	29 13%	26 18%	23 9%	9 5%	-62 -25%	24 9%	126 49%
TOTAL SUPPORT	410 51%	199 54%	211 49%	159 52%	79 49%	76 52%	89 51%	116 53%	81 56%	123 50%	84 48%	87 35%	135 50%	179 70%
TOTAL OPPOSE	324 40%	143 39%	181 42%	113 37%	73 46%	61 42%	68 39%	86 39%	55 38%	100 41%	75 42%	148 60%	110 41%	53 21%
Strongly Support	226 28%	116 31%	111 26%	82 27%	50 31%	44 31%	49 28%	64 29%	52 36%	68 28%	41 23%	39 16%	74 28%	107 42%
Somewhat Support	184 23%	83 23%	101 23%	77 25%	29 18%	31 22%	41 23%	52 24%	29 20%	54 22%	43 24%	47 19%	61 23%	72 28%
DK/Unsure/Refused DNR	67 8%	27 7%	41 9%	32 10%	8 5%	8 5%	18 10%	18 8%	8 6%	21 9%	18 10%	14 6%	23 8%	25 10%
Somewhat Oppose	97 12%	38 10%	60 14%	38 13%	24 15%	9 7%	23 13%	23 11%	14 9%	39 16%	19 11%	45 18%	29 11%	21 8%
Strongly Oppose	226 28%	105 29%	121 28%	75 25%	49 30%	52 36%	46 26%	63 29%	41 29%	61 25%	56 32%	104 42%	81 30%	32 12%



BANNER 2 034

9. Now that you've heard more information... do you support or oppose allowing the government to further negotiate the price of prescription drugs and requiring government-imposed price caps on prescription drug costs?

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	801 100%	157 20%	257 32%	187 23%	191 24%	156 19%	111 14%	136 17%	398 50%	609 76%	56 7%	88 11%	12 1%	200 25%	601 75%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	87 11%	-8 -5%	22 9%	12 6%	63 33%	17 11%	-10 -9%	20 15%	59 15%	21 3%	21 37%	28 32%	7 58%	22 11%	65 11%
TOTAL SUPPORT	410 51%	64 41%	128 50%	95 51%	121 63%	78 50%	43 39%	76 56%	213 54%	289 48%	38 69%	53 60%	9 79%	100 50%	310 52%
TOTAL OPPOSE	324 40%	72 46%	106 41%	83 45%	58 31%	61 39%	53 48%	56 41%	154 39%	269 44%	18 31%	24 28%	3 21%	79 39%	245 41%
Strongly Support	226 28%	35 22%	64 25%	49 26%	77 40%	41 26%	23 21%	43 32%	120 30%	156 26%	20 35%	30 34%	8 71%	59 29%	167 28%
Somewhat Support	184 23%	29 18%	64 25%	46 25%	44 23%	37 24%	20 18%	33 24%	94 24%	133 22%	19 33%	23 26%	1 8%	41 21%	142 24%
DK/Unsure/Refused DNR	67 8%	21 13%	24 9%	8 5%	12 6%	17 11%	15 14%	5 3%	30 8%	51 8%	-	11 12%	-	21 10%	46 8%
Somewhat Oppose	97 12%	17 11%	32 12%	26 14%	20 11%	13 8%	21 18%	18 13%	46 12%	83 14%	3 6%	8 10%	1 8%	19 10%	78 13%
Strongly Oppose	226 28%	55 35%	74 29%	57 31%	38 20%	48 31%	32 29%	38 28%	108 27%	186 31%	14 26%	16 18%	2 13%	59 30%	167 28%



BANNER 3 035

9. Now that you've heard more information... do you support or oppose allowing the government to further negotiate the price of prescription drugs and requiring government-imposed price caps on prescription drug costs?

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS									
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTC	E CENTRAL	NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAN	PACIFIC
TOTAL	801	144	184	292	180	40	104	128	56	164	48	80	56	124	
	100%	18%	23%	36%	22%	5%	13%	16%	7%	21%	6%	10%	7%	15%	
DIFFERENCE D/S	87	13	9	34	31	10	3	-1	10	30	-2	6	0	31	
-----	11%	9%	5%	12%	17%	24%	3%	-1%	17%	18%	-4%	7%	1%	25%	
TOTAL SUPPORT	410	74	88	151	97	23	50	57	31	90	21	39	27	70	
	51%	51%	48%	52%	54%	59%	48%	44%	56%	55%	44%	49%	48%	57%	
TOTAL OPPOSE	324	61	80	117	66	14	47	58	22	60	23	33	27	39	
	40%	42%	43%	40%	37%	35%	45%	45%	38%	37%	49%	42%	48%	32%	
Strongly Support	226	40	44	84	57	12	28	25	19	55	13	16	17	41	
	28%	28%	24%	29%	32%	31%	27%	20%	34%	33%	28%	20%	30%	33%	
Somewhat Support	184	34	44	66	40	11	22	31	12	36	8	23	11	29	
	23%	23%	24%	23%	22%	28%	22%	24%	22%	22%	17%	28%	19%	24%	
DK/Unsure/Refused DNR	67	9	17	25	17	3	6	13	3	14	3	8	2	14	
	8%	6%	9%	9%	9%	7%	6%	10%	6%	9%	7%	10%	4%	11%	
Somewhat Oppose	97	19	33	29	16	3	16	24	9	18	4	7	7	8	
	12%	13%	18%	10%	9%	9%	15%	19%	16%	11%	9%	9%	13%	7%	
Strongly Oppose	226	42	46	87	51	10	31	34	13	42	19	27	19	31	
	28%	29%	25%	30%	28%	26%	30%	26%	22%	26%	40%	33%	35%	25%	



BANNER 4 036  
9. Now that you've heard more information... do you support or oppose allowing the government to further negotiate the price of prescription drugs and requiring government-imposed price caps on prescription drug costs?

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE CAP		INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
TOTAL	801	63	47	437	265	410	324	632	122	667	106
	100%	8%	6%	54%	33%	51%	40%	79%	15%	83%	13%
DIFFERENCE D/S	87	0	28	285	-190	410	-324	100	-20	100	-19
-----	11%	*	60%	65%	-72%	100%	-100%	16%	-16%	15%	-18%
TOTAL SUPPORT	410	30	36	348	32	410	-	343	46	359	39
	51%	47%	77%	80%	12%	100%	-	54%	38%	54%	36%
TOTAL OPPOSE	324	30	8	62	222	-	324	243	65	260	58
	40%	47%	17%	14%	84%	-	100%	38%	54%	39%	55%
Strongly Support	226	15	28	201	15	226	-	181	28	191	27
	28%	23%	59%	46%	6%	55%	-	29%	23%	29%	26%
Somewhat Support	184	15	9	146	17	184	-	161	17	168	11
	23%	23%	19%	33%	6%	45%	-	26%	14%	25%	11%
DK/Unsure/Refused DNR	67	4	3	27	11	-	-	47	11	48	10
	8%	7%	6%	6%	4%	-	-	7%	9%	7%	9%
Somewhat Oppose	97	5	2	31	42	-	97	75	21	81	15
	12%	8%	4%	7%	16%	-	30%	12%	17%	12%	14%
Strongly Oppose	226	25	6	31	180	-	226	168	45	179	42
	28%	39%	13%	7%	68%	-	70%	27%	37%	27%	40%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 1 037

10. What about the information you just heard about the government's involvement in prescription drug prices made the biggest impression on you?

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	801	368	433	304	160	144	176	220	145	244	176	249	267	257
	100%	46%	54%	38%	20%	18%	22%	27%	18%	31%	22%	31%	33%	32%
National Bureau of Economic Research concluded mandating price cuts in United States would lead to fewer research and development (R&D) projects to develop new lifesaving drugs.	78	27	52	31	22	16	8	20	7	34	17	30	19	27
	10%	7%	12%	10%	14%	11%	5%	9%	5%	14%	10%	12%	7%	11%
Putting price caps on prescription drugs cuts return that companies receive for sale of drugs. It can cost two billion dollars to introduce them into the US market. This would inhibit the US's ability to lead in providing lifesaving medications to people around the world.	86	41	45	39	20	11	15	33	8	27	18	25	29	29
	11%	11%	10%	13%	13%	7%	9%	15%	6%	11%	10%	10%	11%	11%
Although the price of medications would be lower for consumers in the short term, over time less companies will be able to produce life-saving prescription medication, which shortens our supply and hurts the those who need prescription drugs the most.	68	20	48	25	19	11	11	14	6	30	17	18	17	30
	8%	5%	11%	8%	12%	8%	6%	6%	4%	12%	9%	7%	7%	12%



BANNER 1 037 (continued)

10. What about the information you just heard about the government's involvement in prescription drug prices made the biggest impression on you?

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM	
The government controlling things like the prices of prescription drugs is a slippery-slope to letting the government control the prices of other products Americans everywhere rely on to live healthy lives.	98 12%	46 13%	52 12%	36 12%	24 15%	20 14%	17 10%	30 14%	15 11%	30 12%	22 12%	32 13%	34 13%	30 12%
Other CAPTURE VERBATIM	232 29%	108 29%	123 29%	87 29%	36 22%	44 30%	59 34%	59 27%	48 33%	64 26%	55 31%	78 31%	89 33%	60 23%
DK/Refused DNR	280 35%	139 38%	141 33%	107 35%	47 29%	48 33%	73 41%	76 35%	62 43%	78 32%	59 34%	80 32%	96 36%	92 36%



BANNER 2 038

10. What about the information you just heard about the government's involvement in prescription drug prices made the biggest impression on you?

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	801 100%	157 20%	257 32%	187 23%	191 24%	156 19%	111 14%	136 17%	398 50%	609 76%	56 7%	88 11%	12 1%	200 25%	601 75%
National Bureau of Economic Research concluded mandating price cuts in United States would lead to fewer research and development (R&D) projects to develop new lifesaving drugs.	78 10%	11 7%	33 13%	16 8%	18 9%	18 12%	8 7%	7 5%	45 11%	64 10%	3 6%	5 5%	1 12%	26 13%	52 9%
Putting price caps on prescription drugs cuts return that companies receive for sale of drugs. It can cost two billion dollars to introduce them into the US market. This would inhibit the US's ability to lead in providing lifesaving medications to people around the world.	86 11%	18 12%	22 8%	24 13%	22 11%	21 14%	13 12%	18 14%	33 8%	60 10%	10 17%	13 15%	-	31 15%	55 9%
Although the price of medications would be lower for consumers in the short term, over time less companies will be able to produce life-saving prescription medication, which shortens our supply and hurts the those who need prescription drugs the most.	68 8%	12 7%	24 10%	14 8%	18 9%	14 9%	10 9%	16 12%	28 7%	51 8%	5 8%	8 9%	3 23%	22 11%	46 8%



BANNER 2 038 (continued)

10. What about the information you just heard about the government's involvement in prescription drug prices made the biggest impression on you?

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
The government controlling things like the prices of prescription drugs is a slippery-slope to letting the government control the prices of other products Americans everywhere rely on to live healthy lives.	98 12%	19 12%	30 12%	24 13%	24 13%	22 14%	22 20%	15 11%	39 10%	75 12%	4 8%	12 13%	3 24%	41 20%	57 10%
Other CAPTURE VERBATIM	232 29%	41 26%	74 29%	54 29%	61 32%	41 26%	29 26%	45 33%	117 29%	183 30%	19 34%	18 20%	3 29%	32 16%	200 33%
DK/Refused DNR	280 35%	59 38%	94 37%	61 33%	60 31%	54 35%	34 31%	38 28%	154 39%	213 35%	17 31%	34 39%	3 24%	60 30%	220 37%





BANNER 3 039

10. What about the information you just heard about the government's involvement in prescription drug prices made the biggest impression on you?

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS								
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTIC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTIC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAIN	PACIFIC
TOTAL	801	144	184	292	180	40	104	128	56	164	48	80	56	124
	100%	18%	23%	36%	22%	5%	13%	16%	7%	21%	6%	10%	7%	15%
National Bureau of Economic Research concluded mandating price cuts in United States would lead to fewer research and development (R&D) projects to develop new lifesaving drugs.	78	17	12	26	23	5	13	12	1	15	4	7	7	15
	10%	12%	7%	9%	13%	12%	12%	9%	1%	9%	9%	9%	13%	12%
Putting price caps on prescription drugs cuts return that companies receive for sale of drugs. It can cost two billion dollars to introduce them into the US market. This would inhibit the US's ability to lead in providing lifesaving medications to people around the world.	86	17	20	33	15	3	15	13	7	21	6	6	5	10
	11%	12%	11%	11%	9%	7%	14%	10%	12%	13%	12%	8%	9%	8%
Although the price of medications would be lower for consumers in the short term, over time less companies will be able to produce life-saving prescription medication, which shortens our supply and hurts the those who need prescription drugs the most.	68	12	15	26	15	4	9	11	5	12	5	8	4	11
	8%	9%	8%	9%	8%	9%	8%	8%	8%	7%	10%	11%	7%	9%



BANNER 3 039 (continued)

10. What about the information you just heard about the government's involvement in prescription drug prices made the biggest impression on you?

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS								
	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	TOTAL	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAN	PACIFIC
The government controlling things like the prices of prescription drugs is a slippery-slope to letting the government control the prices of other products Americans everywhere rely on to live healthy lives.	98 12%	14 10%	29 15%	37 13%	18 10%	3 7%	11 11%	23 18%	6 11%	20 12%	7 16%	9 11%	4 7%	14 12%
Other CAPTURE VERBATIM	232 29%	47 32%	49 26%	91 31%	45 25%	16 39%	31 30%	31 24%	18 32%	53 32%	14 29%	24 30%	16 29%	29 23%
DK/Refused DNR	280 35%	44 31%	68 37%	92 31%	77 43%	12 30%	32 31%	46 36%	21 37%	52 32%	13 27%	27 34%	22 39%	55 44%



BANNER 4 040

10. What about the information you just heard about the government's involvement in prescription drug prices made the biggest impression on you?

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE		CAP INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	
	FAV	UNFAV									
TOTAL	801	63	47	437	265	410	324	632	122	667	106
	100%	8%	6%	54%	33%	51%	40%	79%	15%	83%	13%
National Bureau of Economic Research concluded mandating price cuts in United States would lead to fewer research and development (R&D) projects to develop new lifesaving drugs.	78	8	6	47	21	40	32	59	12	66	12
	10%	12%	13%	11%	8%	10%	10%	9%	10%	10%	11%
Putting price caps on prescription drugs cuts return that companies receive for sale of drugs. It can cost two billion dollars to introduce them into the US market. This would inhibit the US's ability to lead in providing lifesaving medications to people around the world.	86	9	5	49	26	53	27	71	15	73	8
	11%	15%	11%	11%	10%	13%	8%	11%	12%	11%	8%
Although the price of medications would be lower for consumers in the short term, over time less companies will be able to produce life-saving prescription medication, which shortens our supply and hurts the those who need prescription drugs the most.	68	3	6	45	16	33	29	58	7	61	4
	8%	5%	12%	10%	6%	8%	9%	9%	5%	9%	4%



BANNER 4 040 (continued)

10. What about the information you just heard about the government's involvement in prescription drug prices made the biggest impression on you?

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE		CAP INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	
	FAV	UNFAV									
The government controlling things like the prices of prescription drugs is a slippery-slope to letting the government control the prices of other products Americans everywhere rely on to live healthy lives.	98 12%	13 20%	4 8%	49 11%	37 14%	38 9%	50 16%	74 12%	17 14%	81 12%	16 15%
Other CAPTURE VERBATIM	232 29%	19 31%	13 27%	128 29%	75 28%	122 30%	97 30%	182 29%	38 31%	186 28%	41 39%
DK/Refused DNR	280 35%	15 24%	17 35%	145 33%	100 38%	145 35%	105 32%	216 34%	44 36%	229 34%	35 33%



BANNER 1 041

11. Recently, there has been debate over the price of healthcare, specifically dental care. Dental care is becoming increasingly hard for low and middle income families to receive because of the high costs associated. Sometimes, low and middle class families do not make enough to pay for dental services, and make too much to qualify for government help. To remedy this dilemma there has been a push for the accreditation of a mid-level dental hygiene practitioner that could not do the exact work of a dentist but could handle smaller dental services including hygiene and basic extractions.

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	801	368	433	304	160	144	176	220	145	244	176	249	267	257
	100%	46%	54%	38%	20%	18%	22%	27%	18%	31%	22%	31%	33%	32%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	510	238	272	216	103	89	94	156	80	162	102	143	179	175
	64%	65%	63%	71%	64%	61%	53%	71%	56%	66%	58%	57%	67%	68%
TOTAL SUPPORT	632	293	339	251	128	113	129	181	109	198	133	192	215	207
	79%	79%	78%	83%	80%	78%	73%	82%	75%	81%	75%	77%	80%	80%
TOTAL OPPOSE	122	55	67	35	25	24	35	25	29	35	30	49	36	32
	15%	15%	15%	12%	16%	17%	20%	11%	20%	15%	17%	20%	13%	12%
Strongly Support	466	207	258	180	94	91	94	129	77	145	108	130	162	164
	58%	56%	60%	59%	58%	63%	53%	59%	53%	59%	62%	52%	61%	64%
Somewhat Support	166	86	81	71	35	22	35	52	32	53	24	63	53	43
	21%	23%	19%	23%	22%	15%	20%	24%	22%	22%	14%	25%	20%	17%
DK/Unsure/Refused DNR	47	21	26	18	7	7	13	14	7	11	13	7	17	19
	6%	6%	6%	6%	4%	5%	7%	6%	5%	5%	7%	3%	6%	7%
Somewhat Oppose	38	19	19	13	9	5	10	12	6	11	9	17	10	11
	5%	5%	4%	4%	6%	3%	6%	5%	4%	4%	5%	7%	4%	4%
Strongly Oppose	84	36	48	22	16	19	25	13	22	25	22	33	25	21
	10%	10%	11%	7%	10%	13%	14%	6%	15%	10%	12%	13%	10%	8%



BANNER 2 042

11. Recently, there has been debate over the price of healthcare, specifically dental care. Dental care is becoming increasingly hard for low and middle income families to receive because of the high costs associated. Sometimes, low and middle class families do not make enough to pay for dental services, and make too much to qualify for government help. To remedy this dilemma there has been a push for the accreditation of a mid-level dental hygiene practitioner that could not do the exact work of a dentist but could handle smaller dental services including hygiene and basic extractions.

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	801	157	257	187	191	156	111	136	398	609	56	88	12	200	601
	100%	20%	32%	23%	24%	19%	14%	17%	50%	76%	7%	11%	1%	25%	75%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	510	87	151	133	134	107	62	104	238	392	36	55	8	126	384
	64%	56%	59%	71%	70%	68%	55%	76%	60%	64%	65%	63%	64%	63%	64%
TOTAL SUPPORT	632	119	194	157	155	126	83	118	306	482	46	68	9	155	477
	79%	76%	76%	84%	81%	81%	74%	86%	77%	79%	82%	77%	76%	77%	79%
TOTAL OPPOSE	122	31	43	24	21	19	21	14	68	90	9	13	1	28	93
	15%	20%	17%	13%	11%	12%	19%	10%	17%	15%	17%	15%	12%	14%	16%
Strongly Support	466	86	145	115	117	93	59	90	224	351	38	49	9	121	344
	58%	55%	56%	61%	61%	60%	53%	66%	56%	58%	68%	56%	76%	61%	57%
Somewhat Support	166	33	49	43	38	33	24	27	82	131	7	19	-	34	133
	21%	21%	19%	23%	20%	21%	22%	20%	21%	22%	13%	22%	-	17%	22%
DK/Unsure/Refused DNR	47	7	20	6	15	11	7	5	24	37	1	7	1	17	30
	6%	4%	8%	3%	8%	7%	6%	4%	6%	6%	2%	8%	12%	8%	5%
Somewhat Oppose	38	12	14	7	5	6	4	6	22	28	3	6	-	7	31
	5%	8%	5%	3%	3%	4%	4%	4%	6%	5%	6%	7%	-	3%	5%
Strongly Oppose	84	19	30	17	16	13	17	8	45	62	6	7	1	22	62
	10%	12%	11%	9%	8%	8%	15%	6%	11%	10%	11%	8%	12%	11%	10%



BANNER 3 043

11. Recently, there has been debate over the price of healthcare, specifically dental care. Dental care is becoming increasingly hard for low and middle income families to receive because of the high costs associated. Sometimes, low and middle class families do not make enough to pay for dental services, and make too much to qualify for government help. To remedy this dilemma there has been a push for the accreditation of a mid-level dental hygiene practitioner that could not do the exact work of a dentist but could handle smaller dental services including hygiene and basic extractions.

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS								
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAN	PACIFIC
TOTAL	801 100%	144 18%	184 23%	292 36%	180 22%	40 5%	104 13%	128 16%	56 7%	164 21%	48 6%	80 10%	56 7%	124 15%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	510 64%	99 69%	96 52%	202 69%	113 63%	30 75%	69 66%	62 48%	35 62%	116 71%	34 72%	52 65%	36 64%	78 63%
TOTAL SUPPORT	632 79%	117 81%	134 73%	240 82%	140 78%	32 80%	85 82%	91 71%	43 77%	137 83%	40 83%	63 79%	45 81%	95 77%
TOTAL OPPOSE	122 15%	18 13%	38 21%	38 13%	27 15%	2 5%	16 16%	29 23%	9 16%	21 13%	6 12%	12 14%	10 17%	17 14%
Strongly Support	466 58%	77 53%	91 49%	187 64%	111 62%	26 64%	51 49%	57 45%	34 60%	110 67%	27 55%	51 64%	35 62%	77 62%
Somewhat Support	166 21%	41 28%	44 24%	53 18%	29 16%	6 16%	34 33%	34 26%	10 18%	27 17%	13 28%	12 16%	11 19%	18 15%
DK/Unsure/Refused DNR	47 6%	9 6%	12 6%	14 5%	13 7%	6 15%	3 3%	8 6%	4 7%	6 4%	3 5%	5 6%	1 2%	12 10%
Somewhat Oppose	38 5%	8 5%	13 7%	13 4%	5 3%	1 2%	7 6%	10 8%	3 5%	7 4%	-	6 7%	2 3%	3 3%
Strongly Oppose	84 10%	11 7%	25 14%	26 9%	22 12%	1 2%	10 9%	20 15%	6 10%	14 9%	6 12%	6 8%	8 14%	14 11%



BANNER 4 044

11. Recently, there has been debate over the price of healthcare, specifically dental care. Dental care is becoming increasingly hard for low and middle income families to receive because of the high costs associated. Sometimes, low and middle class families do not make enough to pay for dental services, and make too much to qualify for government help. To remedy this dilemma there has been a push for the accreditation of a mid-level dental hygiene practitioner that could not do the exact work of a dentist but could handle smaller dental services including hygiene and basic extractions.

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE		CAP INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
TOTAL	801	63	47	437	265	410	324	632	122	667	106
	100%	8%	6%	54%	33%	51%	40%	79%	15%	83%	13%
DIFFERENCE D/S	510	33	29	301	144	297	177	632	-122	584	-75
-----	64%	52%	61%	69%	54%	72%	55%	100%	-100%	88%	-71%
TOTAL SUPPORT	632	45	36	358	197	343	243	632	-	614	11
	79%	72%	77%	82%	74%	84%	75%	100%		92%	10%
TOTAL OPPOSE	122	13	8	57	53	46	65	-	122	30	86
	15%	20%	16%	13%	20%	11%	20%		100%	4%	81%
Strongly Support	466	35	31	281	136	270	167	466	-	455	4
	58%	55%	66%	64%	51%	66%	52%	74%		68%	4%
Somewhat Support	166	10	5	78	61	73	76	166	-	159	7
	21%	16%	11%	18%	23%	18%	23%	26%		24%	6%
DK/Unsure/Refused DNR	47	5	3	21	15	22	16	-	-	24	9
	6%	8%	7%	5%	6%	5%	5%			4%	9%
Somewhat Oppose	38	1	3	20	15	16	19	-	38	13	22
	5%	2%	7%	5%	6%	4%	6%		31%	2%	21%
Strongly Oppose	84	12	4	37	38	30	47	-	84	16	63
	10%	19%	9%	9%	14%	7%	14%		69%	2%	60%





BANNER 1 045

12. Under the supervision of a dentist, a dental hygiene practitioner would deliver quality, routine dental care in schools and nursing homes.

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	417	190	227	171	75	81	84	120	68	125	96	117	153	131
	100%	46%	54%	41%	18%	19%	20%	29%	16%	30%	23%	28%	37%	31%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	288	143	144	121	53	57	51	92	50	82	59	76	104	98
	69%	75%	64%	71%	71%	71%	61%	77%	73%	65%	61%	65%	68%	75%
TOTAL Support	335	157	178	138	61	66	64	99	56	100	73	93	122	108
	80%	83%	78%	81%	82%	82%	76%	83%	83%	80%	76%	79%	80%	83%
TOTAL Oppose	47	14	34	17	8	9	13	7	7	18	15	17	18	10
	11%	7%	15%	10%	11%	11%	15%	6%	10%	14%	15%	15%	12%	8%
Strng Sppt	254	115	139	104	49	51	47	73	40	79	58	72	88	87
	61%	60%	61%	61%	65%	63%	57%	61%	59%	63%	60%	61%	58%	66%
Smwt Sppt	81	42	39	35	12	15	16	26	16	21	16	22	34	21
	19%	22%	17%	20%	17%	19%	19%	22%	24%	17%	16%	18%	22%	16%
No Diff	23	15	7	12	3	2	5	10	4	5	3	5	7	8
	5%	8%	3%	7%	4%	2%	6%	9%	6%	4%	3%	4%	4%	6%
DK/Ref DNR:	12	4	8	4	2	4	2	3	1	2	5	2	6	4
	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	5%	3%	3%	2%	2%	6%	2%	4%	3%
Smwt Opp	11	5	6	4	3	2	2	4	1	3	3	5	2	4
	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	4%	1%	3%
Strng Opp	36	9	27	13	5	7	11	3	5	15	12	13	15	6
	9%	5%	12%	8%	7%	8%	13%	3%	8%	12%	12%	11%	10%	5%



BANNER 2 046

12. Under the supervision of a dentist, a dental hygiene practitioner would deliver quality, routine dental care in schools and nursing homes.

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	417 100%	86 21%	135 32%	93 22%	99 24%	84 20%	57 14%	67 16%	209 50%	309 74%	27 6%	56 13%	11 3%	103 25%	314 75%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	288 69%	57 66%	79 58%	78 85%	72 73%	59 70%	35 61%	53 79%	141 67%	208 67%	20 72%	43 78%	11 100%	72 70%	215 69%
TOTAL Support	335 80%	69 80%	100 74%	83 90%	80 81%	69 82%	42 74%	58 87%	166 79%	244 79%	23 86%	48 86%	11 100%	82 79%	253 81%
TOTAL Oppose	47 11%	12 14%	21 16%	5 6%	8 8%	10 12%	7 13%	5 7%	25 12%	36 12%	4 14%	4 8%	-	10 9%	38 12%
Strng Sppt	254 61%	56 64%	71 53%	63 68%	63 64%	49 58%	32 56%	43 64%	130 62%	186 60%	22 82%	29 53%	8 73%	61 59%	193 61%
Smwt Sppt	81 19%	13 16%	28 21%	21 22%	17 18%	20 24%	10 18%	15 22%	36 17%	58 19%	1 4%	18 33%	3 27%	21 20%	60 19%
No Diff	23 5%	3 4%	6 4%	4 4%	9 9%	2 3%	4 7%	4 6%	12 6%	20 6%	-	1 2%	-	9 8%	14 4%
DK/Ref DNR:	12 3%	2 2%	9 6%	-	1 1%	3 3%	3 6%	-	6 3%	9 3%	-	2 4%	-	3 3%	9 3%
Smwt Opp	11 3%	4 5%	3 3%	2 3%	1 1%	0 *	3 6%	2 3%	5 3%	8 3%	-	2 4%	-	0 *	11 3%
Strng Opp	36 9%	8 9%	17 13%	3 3%	7 7%	10 12%	4 7%	3 4%	19 9%	28 9%	4 14%	2 4%	-	9 9%	27 9%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 3 047

12. Under the supervision of a dentist, a dental hygiene practitioner would deliver quality, routine dental care in schools and nursing homes.

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS								
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTIC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTIC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAIN	PACIFIC
TOTAL	417	77	94	151	95	19	59	63	31	82	24	44	29	66
	100%	19%	23%	36%	23%	5%	14%	15%	7%	20%	6%	11%	7%	16%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	288	53	61	119	56	15	38	44	16	65	21	32	16	39
	69%	68%	65%	79%	59%	80%	64%	70%	54%	79%	90%	72%	57%	59%
TOTAL Support	335	63	73	130	70	16	47	51	22	72	21	37	21	48
	80%	81%	77%	86%	73%	86%	80%	80%	72%	87%	90%	82%	74%	73%
TOTAL Oppose	47	10	12	11	14	1	9	6	6	7	-	4	5	9
	11%	14%	13%	7%	14%	5%	16%	10%	18%	8%		10%	17%	13%
Strng Sppt	254	43	54	104	54	14	29	37	17	60	17	26	15	39
	61%	56%	57%	69%	56%	76%	49%	58%	56%	73%	73%	58%	51%	58%
Smwt Sppt	81	20	19	26	16	2	18	14	5	11	4	11	6	10
	19%	26%	20%	17%	17%	10%	31%	23%	16%	14%	17%	24%	22%	14%
No Diff	23	3	6	5	8	2	1	5	1	3	1	1	3	6
	5%	4%	7%	3%	9%	9%	2%	8%	3%	3%	4%	3%	9%	9%
DK/Ref DNR:	12	1	3	5	3	-	1	1	2	1	1	2	-	3
	3%	1%	3%	3%	4%		2%	2%	6%	2%	6%	4%		5%
Smwt Opp	11	1	5	0	4	-	1	2	3	-	-	0	1	3
	3%	1%	6%	*	5%		2%	4%	10%			1%	4%	5%
Strng Opp	36	9	7	11	9	1	8	4	3	7	-	4	4	6
	9%	12%	7%	7%	10%	5%	14%	6%	9%	8%		9%	13%	9%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 4 048

12. Under the supervision of a dentist, a dental hygiene practitioner would deliver quality, routine dental care in schools and nursing homes.

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE CAP		INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
TOTAL	417	40	19	232	137	217	165	330	60	344	57
	100%	10%	5%	55%	33%	52%	40%	79%	14%	83%	14%
DIFFERENCE D/S	288	27	12	169	82	160	106	301	-20	311	-27
-----	69%	68%	64%	73%	60%	74%	64%	91%	-34%	90%	-47%
TOTAL Support	335	32	14	192	103	180	130	308	16	320	11
	80%	80%	75%	83%	75%	83%	79%	93%	27%	93%	19%
TOTAL Oppose	47	5	2	23	21	20	24	7	37	9	38
	11%	12%	11%	10%	15%	9%	15%	2%	61%	2%	66%
Strng Sppt	254	23	10	151	75	143	93	242	11	244	7
	61%	59%	51%	65%	54%	66%	56%	73%	18%	71%	12%
Smwt Sppt	81	9	5	41	29	37	37	66	6	76	4
	19%	22%	24%	18%	21%	17%	22%	20%	9%	22%	7%
No Diff	23	2	2	11	7	12	7	14	6	14	5
	5%	5%	8%	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	10%	4%	9%
DK/Ref DNR:	12	1	1	5	6	5	4	1	1	2	4
	3%	2%	6%	2%	4%	2%	2%	*	2%	1%	6%
Smwt Opp	11	-	1	6	5	7	4	4	7	5	6
	3%		6%	3%	3%	3%	2%	1%	11%	2%	10%
Strng Opp	36	5	1	17	16	13	20	3	30	3	32
	9%	12%	5%	7%	12%	6%	12%	1%	50%	1%	56%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 1 049  
13. A dental hygiene practitioner would be able to bring dental care to more rural areas of the United States that often go without dental care for long periods of time.

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	428	209	219	170	85	73	93	126	81	128	86	141	126	148
	100%	49%	51%	40%	20%	17%	22%	30%	19%	30%	20%	33%	29%	35%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	313	141	172	132	68	47	62	96	44	104	64	104	98	104
	73%	68%	78%	78%	80%	64%	66%	76%	55%	81%	75%	74%	78%	70%
TOTAL Support	350	162	188	143	73	56	74	104	57	112	73	116	106	119
	82%	78%	86%	84%	86%	77%	79%	82%	71%	87%	85%	82%	84%	80%
TOTAL Oppose	37	21	17	11	5	9	12	8	13	8	9	12	8	15
	9%	10%	8%	6%	6%	13%	13%	6%	16%	6%	10%	8%	7%	10%
Strng Sppt	279	119	160	113	61	40	63	76	43	98	60	82	84	106
	65%	57%	73%	67%	71%	55%	67%	60%	53%	76%	70%	58%	67%	72%
Smwt Sppt	71	43	28	29	13	16	11	28	14	14	13	34	22	13
	17%	21%	13%	17%	15%	22%	12%	22%	17%	11%	15%	24%	18%	9%
No Diff	29	21	8	11	5	7	5	12	9	4	3	11	7	11
	7%	10%	4%	7%	6%	10%	5%	9%	11%	3%	4%	8%	6%	7%
DK/Ref DNR:	11	5	7	5	2	1	2	2	2	5	1	3	5	3
	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	4%	1%	2%	4%	2%
Smwt Opp	15	6	9	5	2	2	6	4	1	2	7	4	3	7
	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	6%	3%	2%	2%	8%	3%	3%	5%
Strng Opp	23	15	7	6	3	7	6	4	11	5	2	7	5	8
	5%	7%	3%	4%	4%	10%	6%	3%	14%	4%	2%	5%	4%	6%



BANNER 2 050  
13. A dental hygiene practitioner would be able to bring dental care to more rural areas of the United States that often go without dental care for long periods of time.

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	428	77	122	111	112	86	50	88	203	323	28	51	3	115	313
	100%	18%	29%	26%	26%	20%	12%	20%	48%	75%	7%	12%	1%	27%	73%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	313	57	89	85	78	66	28	68	151	249	26	30	-0	84	229
	73%	74%	73%	76%	70%	76%	55%	77%	74%	77%	93%	59%	-0%	73%	73%
TOTAL Support	350	66	97	94	90	71	35	74	170	271	27	37	1	91	259
	82%	85%	80%	84%	80%	82%	70%	85%	83%	84%	96%	73%	50%	79%	83%
TOTAL Oppose	37	8	8	9	11	5	7	7	19	22	1	7	1	8	30
	9%	11%	7%	8%	10%	6%	14%	7%	9%	7%	4%	14%	50%	7%	9%
Strng Sppt	279	55	74	76	71	55	29	64	132	211	25	33	-	70	208
	65%	71%	60%	68%	63%	63%	57%	73%	65%	65%	89%	64%	-	61%	67%
Smwt Sppt	71	11	23	18	19	16	6	11	38	59	2	5	1	21	51
	17%	14%	19%	16%	17%	19%	13%	12%	19%	18%	8%	9%	50%	18%	16%
No Diff	29	2	12	6	8	5	6	6	12	23	-	3	-	14	15
	7%	3%	10%	6%	7%	6%	12%	7%	6%	7%	-	7%	-	12%	5%
DK/Ref DNR:	11	1	4	2	3	5	2	1	3	7	-	4	-	2	9
	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	6%	4%	1%	1%	2%	-	7%	-	2%	3%
Smwt Opp	15	3	5	3	4	1	4	4	6	8	1	5	-	3	12
	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%	1%	8%	4%	3%	2%	4%	9%	-	3%	4%
Strng Opp	23	6	3	7	7	4	3	3	13	14	-	2	1	5	18
	5%	7%	3%	6%	6%	5%	6%	3%	6%	4%	-	4%	50%	4%	6%



BANNER 3 051  
13. A dental hygiene practitioner would be able to bring dental care to more rural areas of the United States that often go without dental care for long periods of time.

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS									
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAN	PACIFIC	
TOTAL	428	74	102	157	95	20	53	72	30	83	30	43	32	64	
	100%	17%	24%	37%	22%	5%	12%	17%	7%	20%	7%	10%	7%	15%	
DIFFERENCE D/S	313	58	59	120	75	18	40	38	21	66	23	32	26	49	
-----	73%	79%	58%	77%	79%	87%	75%	53%	71%	79%	74%	75%	81%	78%	
TOTAL Support	350	64	74	133	80	19	45	50	24	71	26	35	27	53	
	82%	86%	73%	85%	84%	91%	84%	70%	80%	85%	87%	83%	84%	84%	
TOTAL Oppose	37	5	15	12	5	1	4	12	3	5	4	3	1	4	
	9%	7%	15%	8%	5%	4%	8%	17%	9%	6%	12%	8%	3%	6%	
Strng Sppt	279	45	55	116	62	16	29	36	20	65	18	33	21	40	
	65%	62%	54%	74%	65%	78%	55%	50%	66%	78%	60%	77%	68%	63%	
Smwt Sppt	71	18	19	16	18	3	15	15	4	6	8	2	5	13	
	17%	24%	18%	10%	19%	13%	29%	20%	14%	7%	27%	6%	17%	21%	
No Diff	29	4	10	5	11	1	3	7	2	2	-	3	4	6	
	7%	5%	9%	3%	11%	4%	6%	10%	7%	2%		7%	13%	10%	
DK/Ref DNR:	11	1	3	7	-	-	1	2	1	5	0	1	-	-	
	3%	1%	3%	4%			2%	3%	4%	7%	1%	3%			
Smwt Opp	15	1	6	5	3	1	0	5	1	2	1	2	-	3	
	3%	2%	6%	3%	3%	4%	1%	6%	3%	3%	3%	5%		4%	
Strng Opp	23	4	9	7	2	-	4	8	2	3	3	1	1	1	
	5%	6%	9%	4%	2%		8%	11%	6%	4%	9%	2%	3%	2%	



BANNER 4 052  
13. A dental hygiene practitioner would be able to bring dental care to more rural areas of the United States that often go without dental care for long periods of time.

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE CAP		INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
TOTAL	428	29	24	232	151	210	181	336	69	358	58
	100%	7%	6%	54%	35%	49%	42%	79%	16%	84%	14%
DIFFERENCE D/S	313	14	17	180	103	162	126	311	-15	331	-21
-----	73%	48%	73%	78%	69%	77%	70%	93%	-22%	93%	-36%
TOTAL Support	350	21	20	198	119	178	143	314	20	336	11
	82%	72%	84%	86%	79%	85%	79%	93%	28%	94%	19%
TOTAL Oppose	37	7	3	18	15	17	17	3	35	5	32
	9%	24%	12%	8%	10%	8%	9%	1%	50%	1%	54%
Strng Sppt	279	14	15	168	84	152	106	259	10	271	7
	65%	51%	61%	73%	55%	72%	59%	77%	15%	76%	12%
Smwt Sppt	71	6	6	30	35	27	37	55	9	65	4
	17%	21%	23%	13%	23%	13%	20%	16%	14%	18%	7%
No Diff	29	1	1	8	15	8	18	15	12	16	9
	7%	4%	4%	4%	10%	4%	10%	4%	18%	5%	15%
DK/Ref DNR:	11	-	-	7	2	7	3	5	3	1	7
	3%			3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	4%	*	12%
Smwt Opp	15	1	1	11	3	9	5	1	14	3	11
	3%	5%	4%	5%	2%	4%	3%	*	20%	1%	20%
Strng Opp	23	5	2	8	12	8	12	2	21	2	20
	5%	19%	7%	3%	8%	4%	6%	*	30%	1%	35%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.





BANNER 1 053

14. A dental hygiene practitioner would still have a large sum of education under their belt. They would need to receive two years of additional college education and must work in collaboration with a dentist.

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	398	168	230	143	81	81	85	92	76	132	90	120	137	125
	100%	42%	58%	36%	20%	20%	21%	23%	19%	33%	23%	30%	34%	31%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	276	118	158	107	60	58	45	77	41	90	62	83	96	92
	69%	70%	69%	75%	74%	72%	53%	84%	54%	68%	69%	69%	70%	74%
TOTAL Support	325	138	187	120	70	69	60	81	56	109	73	98	112	105
	82%	82%	82%	84%	86%	85%	71%	89%	74%	82%	81%	82%	82%	84%
TOTAL Oppose	49	19	30	13	10	10	15	5	15	18	11	15	17	12
	12%	12%	13%	9%	12%	13%	18%	5%	20%	14%	12%	13%	12%	10%
Strng Sppt	244	100	144	90	50	49	50	59	40	82	60	75	81	81
	61%	59%	63%	63%	62%	61%	59%	64%	53%	62%	66%	63%	59%	65%
Smwt Sppt	81	38	43	30	19	19	10	22	16	27	13	23	31	24
	20%	23%	19%	21%	24%	24%	11%	24%	21%	20%	15%	19%	23%	19%
No Diff	18	9	8	8	1	1	8	5	4	3	5	5	7	4
	4%	6%	4%	5%	1%	1%	9%	6%	5%	3%	5%	4%	5%	3%
DK/Ref DNR:	6	1	4	2	0	1	2	0	1	2	2	1	1	3
	1%	1%	2%	1%	*	1%	3%	*	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	3%
Smwt Opp	15	5	10	3	5	4	2	3	2	5	4	6	5	4
	4%	3%	4%	2%	6%	5%	3%	3%	2%	4%	5%	5%	4%	3%
Strng Opp	34	15	19	10	5	7	13	2	13	13	6	9	12	8
	9%	9%	8%	7%	6%	8%	15%	2%	17%	10%	7%	8%	9%	7%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 2 054

14. A dental hygiene practitioner would still have a large sum of education under their belt. They would need to receive two years of additional college education and must work in collaboration with a dentist.

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	398	74	147	81	91	84	59	66	189	304	28	40	7	97	300
	100%	18%	37%	20%	23%	21%	15%	16%	48%	77%	7%	10%	2%	24%	76%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	276	40	96	61	76	64	41	53	118	213	24	29	4	64	212
	69%	55%	66%	76%	83%	77%	69%	80%	62%	70%	83%	72%	55%	66%	70%
TOTAL Support	325	55	115	69	83	73	48	58	146	249	26	33	5	78	247
	82%	75%	79%	85%	91%	87%	80%	89%	77%	82%	92%	83%	78%	80%	82%
TOTAL Oppose	49	15	19	8	7	9	6	6	28	36	2	4	1	14	36
	12%	21%	13%	9%	7%	10%	11%	9%	15%	12%	8%	11%	22%	14%	12%
Strng Sppt	244	40	84	55	64	59	36	50	100	188	20	25	4	59	185
	61%	54%	58%	68%	70%	70%	61%	76%	53%	62%	72%	62%	55%	60%	62%
Smwt Sppt	81	15	31	14	19	14	12	9	46	61	6	8	1	19	62
	20%	21%	21%	18%	20%	17%	20%	13%	25%	20%	20%	21%	22%	20%	21%
No Diff	18	2	9	3	2	1	5	1	10	15	-	1	-	6	12
	4%	3%	6%	4%	2%	1%	9%	2%	5%	5%	-	3%	-	6%	4%
DK/Ref DNR:	6	1	3	1	-	1	-	-	5	4	-	1	-	-	6
	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	-	-	3%	1%	-	3%	-	-	2%
Smwt Opp	15	3	6	2	4	1	2	2	10	14	-	1	-	7	8
	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	1%	3%	3%	5%	5%	-	2%	-	7%	3%
Strng Opp	34	12	13	5	3	8	4	4	18	22	2	4	1	7	27
	9%	17%	9%	6%	3%	9%	8%	6%	9%	7%	8%	9%	22%	7%	9%



BANNER 3 055  
14. A dental hygiene practitioner would still have a large sum of education under their belt. They would need to receive two years of additional college education and must work in collaboration with a dentist.

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS									
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAN	PACIFIC	
TOTAL	398	68	90	155	85	18	51	64	26	86	27	42	27	58	
	100%	17%	23%	39%	21%	4%	13%	16%	7%	22%	7%	11%	7%	15%	
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	276	48	64	105	59	13	36	46	18	57	18	30	19	40	
	69%	70%	71%	68%	70%	72%	70%	71%	69%	67%	67%	71%	71%	69%	
TOTAL Support	325	57	73	127	68	14	42	53	21	70	21	35	22	46	
	82%	83%	82%	82%	80%	83%	83%	82%	80%	82%	79%	84%	82%	80%	
TOTAL Oppose	49	8	10	22	9	2	6	7	3	13	3	5	3	6	
	12%	12%	11%	14%	11%	11%	13%	11%	11%	15%	13%	13%	11%	10%	
Strng Sppt	244	42	51	103	48	12	30	37	14	59	17	28	15	32	
	61%	61%	57%	67%	56%	67%	59%	59%	54%	69%	61%	66%	57%	56%	
Smwt Sppt	81	15	22	23	21	3	12	15	7	11	5	7	7	14	
	20%	22%	24%	15%	24%	15%	24%	24%	26%	13%	18%	18%	25%	24%	
No Diff	18	2	4	6	5	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	4	
	4%	3%	5%	4%	6%	7%	2%	3%	8%	3%	8%	3%	4%	8%	
DK/Ref DNR:	6	1	2	-	2	-	1	2	0	-	-	-	1	1	
	1%	2%	3%		3%		2%	3%	1%				4%	2%	
Smwt Opp	15	1	4	9	1	1	-	3	1	6	1	2	-	1	
	4%	1%	4%	6%	2%	5%		4%	4%	7%	4%	5%		3%	
Strng Opp	34	8	6	13	7	1	6	4	2	7	2	4	3	4	
	9%	11%	7%	9%	9%	6%	13%	6%	7%	9%	9%	8%	11%	8%	



BANNER 4 056

14. A dental hygiene practitioner would still have a large sum of education under their belt. They would need to receive two years of additional college education and must work in collaboration with a dentist.

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE CAP		INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
TOTAL	398	32	26	204	128	205	160	306	67	325	58
	100%	8%	6%	51%	32%	52%	40%	77%	17%	82%	15%
DIFFERENCE D/S	276	17	22	161	75	156	98	286	-24	305	-38
-----	69%	53%	86%	79%	58%	76%	61%	94%	-35%	94%	-65%
TOTAL Support	325	24	23	178	98	175	125	293	16	312	5
	82%	75%	91%	87%	77%	86%	78%	96%	24%	96%	9%
TOTAL Oppose	49	7	1	17	23	19	27	6	40	6	43
	12%	22%	5%	8%	18%	9%	17%	2%	60%	2%	74%
Strng Sppt	244	20	17	135	73	128	97	235	3	240	3
	61%	63%	66%	66%	57%	62%	61%	77%	4%	74%	6%
Smwt Sppt	81	4	7	42	25	48	28	58	14	72	2
	20%	12%	26%	21%	20%	23%	18%	19%	20%	22%	3%
No Diff	18	1	1	9	3	10	5	7	8	5	8
	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	5%	3%	2%	11%	2%	13%
DK/Ref DNR:	6	-	-	1	3	1	2	0	3	2	2
	1%			1%	3%	1%	2%	*	5%	1%	4%
Smwt Opp	15	2	-	6	7	5	9	1	12	2	13
	4%	7%		3%	6%	2%	6%	*	18%	1%	23%
Strng Opp	34	5	1	11	16	14	18	5	28	4	30
	9%	14%	5%	5%	13%	7%	11%	2%	41%	1%	51%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 1 057  
15. A dental hygiene practitioner could provide many of the same dental services as a dentist at a lower cost without sacrificing the quality of care provided.

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	378	175	203	140	80	58	91	106	67	114	82	126	120	125
	100%	46%	54%	37%	21%	15%	24%	28%	18%	30%	22%	33%	32%	33%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	269	132	137	101	58	43	62	82	49	77	57	82	92	93
	71%	75%	67%	72%	73%	75%	68%	78%	73%	67%	69%	65%	77%	74%
TOTAL Support	307	147	160	112	65	49	74	89	56	88	67	100	102	100
	81%	84%	79%	80%	81%	85%	81%	84%	84%	77%	81%	79%	86%	80%
TOTAL Oppose	38	15	23	12	7	6	12	7	8	11	10	18	10	8
	10%	9%	11%	8%	8%	10%	13%	6%	12%	10%	13%	14%	8%	6%
Strng Sppt	238	111	127	89	49	41	55	66	43	72	53	75	82	77
	63%	63%	63%	64%	62%	71%	60%	63%	64%	63%	65%	60%	68%	61%
Smwt Sppt	69	36	33	23	16	8	19	23	13	17	14	25	20	24
	18%	21%	16%	17%	20%	14%	21%	21%	20%	15%	17%	20%	17%	19%
No Diff	22	8	14	10	5	2	4	5	3	10	3	8	4	10
	6%	5%	7%	7%	7%	3%	4%	5%	4%	9%	3%	6%	3%	8%
DK/Ref DNR:	11	5	6	6	3	1	1	5	-	4	2	-	3	7
	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	2%	1%	4%		4%	3%		3%	5%
Smwt Opp	9	3	7	3	1	1	3	2	-	3	4	6	2	1
	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%		2%	5%	5%	1%	1%
Strng Opp	29	12	16	8	5	5	9	5	8	9	6	12	8	6
	8%	7%	8%	6%	7%	8%	10%	4%	12%	8%	8%	9%	7%	5%



BANNER 2 058  
15. A dental hygiene practitioner could provide many of the same dental services as a dentist at a lower cost without sacrificing the quality of care provided.

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	378	78	114	94	89	62	56	59	202	290	30	34	7	87	291
	100%	21%	30%	25%	23%	16%	15%	15%	53%	77%	8%	9%	2%	23%	77%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	269	58	75	78	57	41	40	45	143	216	21	17	2	55	214
	71%	74%	66%	83%	64%	66%	73%	76%	71%	74%	70%	49%	36%	63%	73%
TOTAL Support	307	65	88	84	68	48	47	49	163	242	25	21	4	65	242
	81%	83%	77%	89%	76%	78%	84%	84%	81%	84%	82%	62%	57%	75%	83%
TOTAL Oppose	38	7	13	6	11	7	6	4	20	27	4	5	1	10	28
	10%	9%	11%	6%	13%	12%	12%	8%	10%	9%	12%	13%	21%	12%	10%
Strng Sppt	238	49	71	66	50	39	38	41	120	181	22	19	4	55	183
	63%	62%	62%	71%	57%	64%	68%	70%	59%	62%	71%	55%	57%	63%	63%
Smwt Sppt	69	16	17	18	18	9	9	8	43	61	3	2	-	11	58
	18%	21%	15%	19%	20%	14%	17%	14%	21%	21%	10%	7%	-	12%	20%
No Diff	22	1	11	3	7	4	1	4	13	14	2	6	-	7	15
	6%	1%	10%	3%	8%	7%	2%	7%	7%	5%	7%	17%	-	8%	5%
DK/Ref DNR:	11	5	3	1	3	2	1	1	6	7	-	3	1	4	7
	3%	6%	3%	1%	3%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	-	7%	21%	5%	2%
Smwt Opp	9	1	3	2	2	0	2	1	6	6	2	1	-	3	6
	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%	1%	4%	2%	3%	2%	7%	3%	-	4%	2%
Strng Opp	29	6	10	4	9	7	4	3	14	21	1	3	1	7	22
	8%	8%	9%	4%	10%	11%	8%	6%	7%	7%	4%	10%	21%	8%	7%



BANNER 3 059  
15. A dental hygiene practitioner could provide many of the same dental services as a dentist at a lower cost without sacrificing the quality of care provided.

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS								
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTIC	E CENTRAL	NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTIC	E CENTRAL	SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAIN
TOTAL	378	70	90	134	85	23	46	62	28	78	19	36	27	59
	100%	18%	24%	35%	23%	6%	12%	16%	7%	21%	5%	10%	7%	16%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	269	46	60	102	61	17	28	37	23	65	13	24	18	42
	71%	66%	67%	77%	71%	74%	61%	60%	82%	84%	66%	67%	70%	72%
TOTAL Support	307	54	69	113	71	18	36	45	24	69	16	28	22	49
	81%	78%	77%	85%	83%	79%	77%	73%	85%	89%	80%	78%	83%	82%
TOTAL Oppose	38	8	9	11	10	1	7	8	1	4	3	4	4	6
	10%	12%	10%	8%	11%	4%	16%	13%	4%	5%	14%	11%	13%	10%
Strng Sppt	238	42	51	91	54	15	27	34	17	59	13	19	19	35
	63%	60%	57%	68%	64%	63%	58%	56%	61%	75%	65%	54%	72%	60%
Smwt Sppt	69	12	18	23	16	4	9	11	7	11	3	9	3	13
	18%	18%	20%	17%	19%	15%	19%	17%	24%	14%	15%	24%	11%	22%
No Diff	22	7	7	5	3	4	3	5	2	0	1	3	1	2
	6%	10%	8%	3%	4%	17%	7%	9%	8%	1%	6%	9%	4%	4%
DK/Ref DNR:	11	-	4	5	2	-	-	3	1	4	-	1	-	2
	3%		5%	4%	2%			5%	3%	5%		3%		4%
Smwt Opp	9	1	3	2	3	-	1	3	-	2	-	-	2	1
	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%		3%	5%		3%			7%	2%
Strng Opp	29	7	6	9	7	1	6	5	1	2	3	4	2	5
	8%	10%	7%	7%	8%	4%	13%	8%	4%	3%	14%	11%	7%	8%



BANNER 4 060  
15. A dental hygiene practitioner could provide many of the same dental services as a dentist at a lower cost without sacrificing the quality of care provided.

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE		CAP INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
TOTAL	378	32	28	216	117	202	148	305	52	322	43
	100%	9%	7%	57%	31%	53%	39%	80%	14%	85%	11%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	269	20	24	158	72	149	100	273	-16	286	-25
	71%	63%	88%	73%	62%	74%	68%	90%	-30%	89%	-58%
TOTAL Support	307	26	25	176	91	166	120	280	15	294	5
	81%	80%	92%	81%	78%	83%	81%	92%	28%	91%	13%
TOTAL Oppose	38	5	1	18	18	17	20	7	30	7	30
	10%	17%	4%	8%	16%	9%	13%	2%	58%	2%	71%
Strng Sppt	238	22	19	139	72	137	88	224	8	231	2
	63%	67%	70%	64%	62%	68%	59%	73%	16%	72%	5%
Smwt Sppt	69	4	6	37	18	30	32	56	6	62	3
	18%	13%	22%	17%	16%	15%	21%	18%	12%	19%	8%
No Diff	22	1	1	15	5	11	8	12	6	16	4
	6%	3%	4%	7%	4%	5%	5%	4%	12%	5%	9%
DK/Ref DNR:	11	-	-	7	3	7	1	6	1	4	3
	3%			3%	3%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	8%
Smwt Opp	9	2	-	4	5	3	6	1	8	3	6
	2%	6%		2%	4%	2%	4%	*	16%	1%	15%
Strng Opp	29	4	1	14	13	14	13	6	22	4	24
	8%	11%	4%	6%	11%	7%	9%	2%	42%	1%	56%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.





BANNER 1 061

16. Now that you've heard more information... Do you support or oppose the process of permitting the creation of new mid-level dental hygiene practitioners that could not do the exact work of a dentist but could handle smaller dental services including hygiene and basic extractions?

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	801	368	433	304	160	144	176	220	145	244	176	249	267	257
	100%	46%	54%	38%	20%	18%	22%	27%	18%	31%	22%	31%	33%	32%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	561	263	298	227	120	97	109	167	94	179	113	162	193	195
	70%	72%	69%	75%	75%	67%	62%	76%	65%	73%	64%	65%	72%	76%
TOTAL SUPPORT	667	308	359	261	138	119	138	190	116	209	140	202	225	222
	83%	84%	83%	86%	86%	82%	78%	86%	80%	86%	80%	81%	84%	86%
TOTAL OPPOSE	106	45	61	34	18	21	29	22	23	30	28	40	32	27
	13%	12%	14%	11%	11%	15%	17%	10%	16%	12%	16%	16%	12%	10%
Strongly Support	515	226	289	204	104	93	107	142	83	166	117	152	169	182
	64%	61%	67%	67%	65%	64%	61%	65%	57%	68%	67%	61%	63%	71%
Somewhat Support	152	82	70	57	34	26	31	47	33	43	23	50	56	40
	19%	22%	16%	19%	21%	18%	17%	22%	23%	18%	13%	20%	21%	16%
DK/Unsure/Refused DNR	28	15	13	8	4	4	9	8	6	5	8	6	11	8
	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	5%	4%	4%	2%	4%	3%	4%	3%
Somewhat Oppose	37	16	21	14	7	5	9	12	4	9	10	16	10	11
	5%	4%	5%	5%	5%	3%	5%	6%	3%	4%	6%	6%	4%	4%
Strongly Oppose	69	29	40	21	11	16	20	10	19	21	18	25	22	16
	9%	8%	9%	7%	7%	11%	11%	5%	13%	9%	10%	10%	8%	6%



BANNER 2 062

16. Now that you've heard more information... Do you support or oppose the process of permitting the creation of new mid-level dental hygiene practitioners that could not do the exact work of a dentist but could handle smaller dental services including hygiene and basic extractions?

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
<b>TOTAL</b>	801	157	257	187	191	156	111	136	398	609	56	88	12	200	601
	100%	20%	32%	23%	24%	19%	14%	17%	50%	76%	7%	11%	1%	25%	75%
<b>DIFFERENCE D/S</b> -----	561	102	171	145	138	117	65	111	269	434	44	57	8	138	423
	70%	65%	67%	77%	72%	75%	58%	81%	68%	71%	78%	65%	67%	69%	70%
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	667	126	206	165	163	133	85	122	328	511	50	71	10	163	504
	83%	81%	80%	88%	85%	85%	76%	89%	83%	84%	89%	80%	79%	82%	84%
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	106	25	35	21	25	16	20	11	59	77	6	14	1	25	81
	13%	16%	14%	11%	13%	10%	18%	8%	15%	13%	11%	16%	12%	12%	13%
<b>Strongly Support</b>	515	97	155	135	125	104	68	95	248	394	43	51	5	136	379
	64%	62%	60%	72%	66%	67%	61%	70%	62%	65%	77%	58%	43%	68%	63%
<b>Somewhat Support</b>	152	30	52	30	37	29	17	26	80	117	7	19	4	27	125
	19%	19%	20%	16%	20%	19%	15%	19%	20%	19%	12%	22%	36%	14%	21%
<b>DK/Unsure/Refused DNR</b>	28	6	16	1	3	7	6	4	11	20	-	4	1	12	16
	3%	4%	6%	1%	2%	4%	6%	3%	3%	3%		4%	8%	6%	3%
<b>Somewhat Oppose</b>	37	6	15	7	9	5	5	6	20	27	2	7	-	9	28
	5%	4%	6%	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%	4%	8%		4%	5%
<b>Strongly Oppose</b>	69	18	20	14	16	11	15	5	38	50	4	7	1	16	53
	9%	12%	8%	7%	8%	7%	13%	4%	10%	8%	7%	8%	12%	8%	9%



BANNER 3 063

16. Now that you've heard more information... Do you support or oppose the process of permitting the creation of new mid-level dental hygiene practitioners that could not do the exact work of a dentist but could handle smaller dental services including hygiene and basic extractions?

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS									
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTC	E CENTRAL	NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAN	PACIFIC
TOTAL	801	144	184	292	180	40	104	128	56	164	48	80	56	124	
	100%	18%	23%	36%	22%	5%	13%	16%	7%	21%	6%	10%	7%	15%	
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	561	102	117	211	132	34	68	77	40	122	35	54	49	83	
	70%	71%	63%	72%	73%	85%	66%	60%	71%	74%	73%	67%	87%	67%	
TOTAL SUPPORT	667	120	147	247	153	36	84	101	46	140	41	66	53	100	
	83%	83%	80%	84%	85%	90%	81%	79%	82%	85%	85%	82%	94%	81%	
TOTAL OPPOSE	106	18	31	36	21	2	16	24	7	18	6	12	4	18	
	13%	12%	17%	12%	12%	5%	15%	19%	12%	11%	12%	15%	6%	14%	
Strongly Support	515	91	107	204	112	27	65	73	34	120	32	52	38	74	
	64%	63%	58%	70%	62%	67%	62%	57%	60%	73%	66%	65%	68%	60%	
Somewhat Support	152	29	41	42	41	9	20	28	13	19	9	14	14	26	
	19%	20%	22%	14%	23%	23%	19%	22%	23%	12%	19%	17%	26%	21%	
DK/Unsure/Refused DNR	28	6	6	10	6	2	4	3	3	6	2	2	-	6	
	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	6%	3%	3%	6%	4%	4%	3%	-	5%	
Somewhat Oppose	37	4	9	16	7	-	4	8	1	8	2	5	-	7	
	5%	3%	5%	5%	4%	-	4%	7%	2%	5%	4%	7%	-	6%	
Strongly Oppose	69	14	21	20	14	2	12	15	6	10	3	7	4	10	
	9%	10%	11%	7%	8%	5%	11%	12%	10%	6%	7%	8%	6%	8%	



BANNER 4 064

16. Now that you've heard more information... Do you support or oppose the process of permitting the creation of new mid-level dental hygiene practitioners that could not do the exact work of a dentist but could handle smaller dental services including hygiene and basic extractions?

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE CAP		INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
TOTAL	801	63	47	437	265	410	324	632	122	667	106
	100%	8%	6%	54%	33%	51%	40%	79%	15%	83%	13%
DIFFERENCE D/S	561	37	37	341	158	321	202	603	-56	667	-106
-----	70%	58%	79%	78%	60%	78%	62%	95%	-46%	100%	-100%
TOTAL SUPPORT	667	50	41	383	209	359	260	614	30	667	-
	83%	78%	87%	88%	79%	88%	80%	97%	24%	100%	-
TOTAL OPPOSE	106	13	4	41	51	39	58	11	86	-	106
	13%	20%	8%	9%	19%	9%	18%	2%	70%	-	100%
Strongly Support	515	38	28	304	157	286	197	495	10	515	-
	64%	60%	59%	70%	59%	70%	61%	78%	8%	77%	-
Somewhat Support	152	12	13	79	52	73	63	119	19	152	-
	19%	18%	28%	18%	20%	18%	19%	19%	16%	23%	-
DK/Unsure/Refused DNR	28	1	3	13	5	12	6	7	6	-	-
	3%	1%	5%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	5%	-	-
Somewhat Oppose	37	1	3	15	15	11	22	4	27	-	37
	5%	2%	6%	3%	6%	3%	7%	1%	22%	-	35%
Strongly Oppose	69	11	1	26	36	27	36	7	59	-	69
	9%	18%	2%	6%	14%	7%	11%	1%	48%	-	65%



BANNER 1 065  
17. What about the information you just heard about the accreditation of a new mid-level dental hygiene practitioner made the biggest impression on you?

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	801	368	433	304	160	144	176	220	145	244	176	249	267	257
	100%	46%	54%	38%	20%	18%	22%	27%	18%	31%	22%	31%	33%	32%
Under supervision of a dentist, a dental hygiene practitioner would deliver quality, routine dental care in schools and nursing homes.	135	53	81	54	26	26	27	34	20	46	34	40	45	45
	17%	15%	19%	18%	16%	18%	15%	15%	14%	19%	19%	16%	17%	18%
A dental hygiene practitioner would be able to bring dental care to more rural areas of the United States that go without dental care for long periods of time.	138	63	75	53	30	23	30	38	25	46	28	31	45	57
	17%	17%	17%	17%	19%	16%	17%	17%	17%	19%	16%	12%	17%	22%
A dental hygiene practitioner would still have a large sum of education. They would need to receive two years of additional college education and must work in collaboration with a dentist.	111	38	73	47	26	19	17	25	13	48	23	38	41	30
	14%	10%	17%	16%	16%	13%	10%	12%	9%	20%	13%	15%	15%	12%
A dental hygiene practitioner could provide many of the same dental services as a dentist at a lower cost without sacrificing the quality of care provided.	112	60	52	49	24	17	21	41	19	32	20	38	38	35
	14%	16%	12%	16%	15%	12%	12%	19%	13%	13%	11%	15%	14%	14%
Other CAPTURE VERBATIM	192	82	109	75	32	37	45	46	36	61	46	73	60	53
	24%	22%	25%	25%	20%	26%	25%	21%	25%	25%	26%	29%	22%	20%



BANNER 1 065 (continued)

17. What about the information you just heard about the accreditation of a new mid-level dental hygiene practitioner made the biggest impression on you?

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
DK/Refused DNR	196	100	96	65	39	32	52	57	42	48	43	64	67	56
	25%	27%	22%	22%	24%	22%	30%	26%	29%	20%	24%	26%	25%	22%



BANNER 2 066

17. What about the information you just heard about the accreditation of a new mid-level dental hygiene practitioner made the biggest impression on you?

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	801 100%	157 20%	257 32%	187 23%	191 24%	156 19%	111 14%	136 17%	398 50%	609 76%	56 7%	88 11%	12 1%	200 25%	601 75%
Under supervision of a dentist, a dental hygiene practitioner would deliver quality, routine dental care in schools and nursing homes.	135 17%	34 21%	37 14%	35 19%	30 16%	34 22%	13 12%	21 16%	66 17%	100 16%	13 24%	16 19%	2 13%	38 19%	97 16%
A dental hygiene practitioner would be able to bring dental care to more rural areas of the United States that go without dental care for long periods of time.	138 17%	29 18%	36 14%	31 16%	41 22%	34 22%	11 10%	31 23%	61 15%	104 17%	10 17%	17 20%	-	44 22%	94 16%
A dental hygiene practitioner would still have a large sum of education. They would need to receive two years of additional college education and must work in collaboration with a dentist.	111 14%	14 9%	41 16%	27 15%	28 15%	27 17%	18 17%	18 13%	48 12%	78 13%	9 17%	14 16%	2 19%	32 16%	79 13%
A dental hygiene practitioner could provide many of the same dental services as a dentist at a lower cost without sacrificing the quality of care provided.	112 14%	23 14%	30 12%	30 16%	29 15%	19 12%	19 17%	13 10%	61 15%	88 15%	8 14%	7 8%	2 13%	33 17%	79 13%
Other CAPTURE VERBATIM	192 24%	38 24%	69 27%	45 24%	40 21%	32 20%	22 19%	38 28%	100 25%	149 24%	15 27%	21 24%	1 8%	19 10%	172 29%



BANNER 2 066 (continued)

17. What about the information you just heard about the accreditation of a new mid-level dental hygiene practitioner made the biggest impression on you?

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
DK/Refused DNR	196	40	68	37	43	36	36	28	96	150	8	21	7	50	146
	25%	26%	27%	20%	23%	23%	32%	21%	24%	25%	15%	24%	60%	25%	24%





BANNER 3 067  
17. What about the information you just heard about the accreditation of a new mid-level dental hygiene practitioner made the biggest impression on you?

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS								
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTIC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTIC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAIN	PACIFIC
TOTAL	801 100%	144 18%	184 23%	292 36%	180 22%	40 5%	104 13%	128 16%	56 7%	164 21%	48 6%	80 10%	56 7%	124 15%
Under supervision of a dentist, a dental hygiene practitioner would deliver quality, routine dental care in schools and nursing homes.	135 17%	29 20%	27 14%	46 16%	33 18%	9 24%	20 19%	15 12%	11 20%	31 19%	4 9%	11 14%	10 18%	23 19%
A dental hygiene practitioner would be able to bring dental care to more rural areas of the United States that go without dental care for long periods of time.	138 17%	18 12%	24 13%	65 22%	32 18%	4 10%	14 13%	17 13%	7 12%	33 20%	14 29%	18 23%	11 19%	21 17%
A dental hygiene practitioner would still have a large sum of education. They would need to receive two years of additional college education and must work in collaboration with a dentist.	111 14%	18 12%	28 15%	39 13%	26 14%	6 15%	12 12%	20 15%	8 15%	21 13%	6 13%	12 15%	5 9%	21 17%
A dental hygiene practitioner could provide many of the same dental services as a dentist at a lower cost without sacrificing the quality of care provided.	112 14%	29 20%	23 12%	44 15%	17 10%	9 22%	20 19%	14 11%	8 15%	28 17%	4 9%	12 14%	9 15%	9 7%
Other CAPTURE VERBATIM	192 24%	38 26%	51 27%	71 24%	32 18%	11 28%	27 26%	37 29%	14 24%	32 19%	21 43%	19 24%	12 22%	20 16%



BANNER 3 067 (continued)

17. What about the information you just heard about the accreditation of a new mid-level dental hygiene practitioner made the biggest impression on you?

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS								
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAN	PACIFIC
DK/Refused DNR	196	32	49	60	55	8	24	35	14	40	4	16	13	42
	25%	22%	27%	21%	31%	19%	23%	27%	25%	24%	8%	20%	23%	34%



BANNER 4 068  
17. What about the information you just heard about the accreditation of a new mid-level dental hygiene practitioner made the biggest impression on you?

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE		CAP INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
TOTAL	801	63	47	437	265	410	324	632	122	667	106
	100%	8%	6%	54%	33%	51%	40%	79%	15%	83%	13%
Under supervision of a dentist, a dental hygiene practitioner would deliver quality, routine dental care in schools and nursing homes.	135	18	1	84	39	74	47	117	12	127	6
	17%	28%	2%	19%	15%	18%	15%	19%	10%	19%	5%
A dental hygiene practitioner would be able to bring dental care to more rural areas of the United States that go without dental care for long periods of time.	138	8	12	86	32	81	41	116	12	126	8
	17%	12%	25%	20%	12%	20%	13%	18%	10%	19%	8%
A dental hygiene practitioner would still have a large sum of education. They would need to receive two years of additional college education and must work in collaboration with a dentist.	111	8	8	62	31	65	39	94	6	104	3
	14%	13%	18%	14%	12%	16%	12%	15%	5%	16%	3%
A dental hygiene practitioner could provide many of the same dental services as a dentist at a lower cost without sacrificing the quality of care provided.	112	13	4	59	35	52	50	108	1	111	-
	14%	20%	9%	14%	13%	13%	15%	17%	1%	17%	-
Other CAPTURE VERBATIM	192	13	13	107	65	101	83	147	38	147	41
	24%	21%	28%	25%	24%	25%	26%	23%	31%	22%	39%



BANNER 4 068 (continued)

17. What about the information you just heard about the accreditation of a new mid-level dental hygiene practitioner made the biggest impression on you?

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE		CAP INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	
	FAV	UNFAV									
DK/Refused DNR	196 25%	16 25%	12 25%	88 20%	83 31%	83 20%	92 28%	120 19%	56 46%	131 20%	50 47%



BANNER 1 069

18. In politics today, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, Independent, or Democrat?

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	801	368	433	304	160	144	176	220	145	244	176	249	267	257
	100%	46%	54%	38%	20%	18%	22%	27%	18%	31%	22%	31%	33%	32%
DIFFERENCE D/S	-8	14	-22	-9	14	-15	2	19	-5	-14	-8	249	-	-257
-----	-1%	4%	-5%	-3%	9%	-10%	1%	8%	-4%	-6%	-4%	100%		-100%
TOTAL REPUBLICAN	249	119	130	90	57	35	64	75	44	72	55	249	-	-
	31%	32%	30%	30%	36%	24%	36%	34%	30%	30%	31%	100%		
TOTAL DEMOCRAT	257	105	152	99	43	50	62	56	49	86	63	-	-	257
	32%	29%	35%	33%	27%	35%	35%	26%	34%	35%	36%			100%
Strong Republican	170	78	93	57	33	26	52	44	34	46	45	170	-	-
	21%	21%	21%	19%	21%	18%	30%	20%	23%	19%	25%	68%		
Not-So-Strong Republican	79	41	38	34	24	9	12	31	10	27	11	79	-	-
	10%	11%	9%	11%	15%	6%	7%	14%	7%	11%	6%	32%		
Independent/ Something Else	267	132	136	108	53	55	44	81	48	80	51	-	267	-
	33%	36%	31%	36%	33%	38%	25%	37%	33%	33%	29%		100%	
DK/Refused DNR	27	13	14	7	7	4	7	8	4	6	7	-	-	-
	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	2%	4%			
Not-So-Strong Democrat	65	34	31	28	9	13	15	21	14	17	14	-	-	65
	8%	9%	7%	9%	6%	9%	8%	9%	10%	7%	8%			25%
Strong Democrat	192	71	122	71	34	37	47	36	35	69	49	-	-	192
	24%	19%	28%	23%	21%	26%	27%	16%	24%	28%	28%			75%



BANNER 2 070

18. In politics today, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, Independent, or Democrat?

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	801 100%	157 20%	257 32%	187 23%	191 24%	156 19%	111 14%	136 17%	398 50%	609 76%	56 7%	88 11%	12 1%	200 25%	601 75%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	-8 -1%	14 9%	2 1%	-1 -1%	-25 -13%	13 8%	-4 -4%	-2 -1%	-15 -4%	60 10%	-4 -8%	-64 -73%	-1 -9%	-12 -6%	4 1%
TOTAL REPUBLICAN	249 31%	56 36%	78 30%	62 33%	51 27%	47 30%	33 29%	49 36%	120 30%	218 36%	16 28%	2 3%	3 23%	58 29%	191 32%
TOTAL DEMOCRAT	257 32%	42 27%	75 29%	63 34%	76 40%	34 22%	37 33%	51 37%	136 34%	158 26%	20 36%	66 75%	4 32%	70 35%	187 31%
Strong Republican	170 21%	44 28%	48 19%	37 20%	40 21%	26 17%	25 22%	29 22%	90 23%	148 24%	9 16%	2 3%	3 23%	35 18%	135 23%
Not-So-Strong Republican	79 10%	12 8%	30 11%	26 14%	11 6%	21 13%	8 7%	20 14%	30 8%	70 11%	7 12%	-	-	23 11%	56 9%
Independent/ Something Else	267 33%	56 36%	95 37%	55 29%	60 31%	70 45%	38 34%	31 23%	128 32%	215 35%	20 36%	16 18%	5 44%	61 31%	206 34%
DK/Refused DNR	27 3%	4 2%	9 4%	6 3%	4 2%	4 3%	4 3%	6 4%	13 3%	18 3%	-	4 4%	-	11 5%	16 3%
Not-So-Strong Democrat	65 8%	12 8%	13 5%	23 13%	16 9%	11 7%	9 8%	13 9%	33 8%	46 8%	6 10%	13 14%	-	21 11%	44 7%
Strong Democrat	192 24%	29 19%	63 24%	40 21%	60 31%	24 15%	28 25%	38 28%	103 26%	112 18%	15 26%	54 61%	4 32%	49 25%	143 24%



BANNER 3 071

18. In politics today, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, Independent, or Democrat?

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS								
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAN	PACIFIC
TOTAL	801 100%	144 18%	184 23%	292 36%	180 22%	40 5%	104 13%	128 16%	56 7%	164 21%	48 6%	80 10%	56 7%	124 15%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	-8 -1%	-11 -8%	-1 -0%	8 3%	-4 -2%	-2 -6%	-9 -8%	-5 -4%	5 8%	-1 -0%	8 16%	1 1%	6 11%	-11 -9%
TOTAL REPUBLICAN	249 31%	41 28%	56 30%	96 33%	57 32%	9 23%	31 30%	40 31%	16 29%	47 29%	20 41%	29 36%	21 37%	36 29%
TOTAL DEMOCRAT	257 32%	52 36%	56 31%	88 30%	62 34%	12 29%	40 39%	45 35%	12 21%	48 29%	12 25%	28 34%	15 26%	47 38%
Strong Republican	170 21%	27 19%	39 21%	72 25%	33 18%	6 16%	21 20%	29 23%	10 17%	34 21%	16 32%	22 28%	12 22%	20 16%
Not-So-Strong Republican	79 10%	13 9%	17 9%	24 8%	25 14%	3 7%	10 10%	11 8%	6 12%	13 8%	4 8%	6 8%	8 15%	16 13%
Independent/ Something Else	267 33%	49 34%	63 34%	100 34%	56 31%	19 47%	30 29%	36 28%	26 47%	64 39%	13 28%	22 28%	19 34%	36 29%
DK/Refused DNR	27 3%	3 2%	10 5%	9 3%	5 3%	0 *	3 3%	8 6%	2 4%	5 3%	3 6%	1 2%	1 2%	4 3%
Not-So-Strong Democrat	65 8%	16 11%	16 8%	13 5%	20 11%	3 8%	13 13%	14 11%	2 3%	8 5%	3 7%	2 3%	8 15%	12 9%
Strong Democrat	192 24%	36 25%	41 22%	74 25%	42 23%	9 22%	27 26%	31 24%	10 17%	40 24%	9 19%	25 32%	6 11%	36 29%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 4 072

18. In politics today, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, Independent, or Democrat?

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE CAP		INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTIONER		INF PRACTIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	
	FAV	UNFAV									
TOTAL	801 100%	63 8%	47 6%	437 54%	265 33%	410 51%	324 40%	632 79%	122 15%	667 83%	106 13%
DIFFERENCE D/S -----	-8 -1%	2 3%	-13 -28%	-72 -16%	61 23%	-93 -23%	95 29%	-14 -2%	18 15%	-20 -3%	13 13%
TOTAL REPUBLICAN	249 31%	21 33%	8 17%	111 25%	107 41%	87 21%	148 46%	192 30%	49 41%	202 30%	40 38%
TOTAL DEMOCRAT	257 32%	19 30%	21 45%	183 42%	46 17%	179 44%	53 16%	207 33%	32 26%	222 33%	27 25%
Strong Republican	170 21%	16 26%	3 7%	75 17%	79 30%	58 14%	102 31%	126 20%	37 30%	137 21%	28 27%
Not-So-Strong Republican	79 10%	4 7%	5 11%	36 8%	29 11%	28 7%	46 14%	66 10%	13 10%	65 10%	12 12%
Independent/ Something Else	267 33%	20 32%	16 35%	135 31%	101 38%	135 33%	110 34%	215 34%	36 29%	225 34%	32 30%
DK/Refused DNR	27 3%	3 5%	1 3%	8 2%	10 4%	10 2%	12 4%	18 3%	5 4%	18 3%	7 6%
Not-So-Strong Democrat	65 8%	6 10%	5 11%	47 11%	12 4%	44 11%	20 6%	52 8%	10 8%	54 8%	9 8%
Strong Democrat	192 24%	13 20%	16 34%	136 31%	34 13%	135 33%	33 10%	155 24%	22 18%	168 25%	18 17%





BANNER 1 073

19. What is the highest level of formal education you have completed?

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	801 100%	368 46%	433 54%	304 38%	160 20%	144 18%	176 22%	220 27%	145 18%	244 31%	176 22%	249 31%	267 33%	257 32%
Less than high school graduate	14 2%	11 3%	3 1%	6 2%	2 1%	1 1%	6 3%	7 3%	4 3%	1 *	2 1%	5 2%	6 2%	3 1%
High school graduate	143 18%	65 18%	78 18%	53 18%	24 15%	25 17%	40 22%	38 17%	27 18%	39 16%	37 21%	50 20%	50 19%	39 15%
Some College / Associates Degree	257 32%	102 28%	156 36%	101 33%	52 32%	51 36%	52 29%	59 27%	42 29%	93 38%	61 35%	78 31%	95 35%	75 29%
Bachelor's Degree	187 23%	87 24%	100 23%	67 22%	48 30%	35 24%	33 18%	55 25%	31 22%	60 25%	37 21%	62 25%	55 20%	63 25%
Post graduate	191 24%	101 27%	89 21%	77 25%	34 21%	30 21%	45 25%	60 27%	40 27%	51 21%	35 20%	51 20%	60 22%	76 30%
DK/Refused DNR	10 1%	3 1%	6 1%	0 *	1 *	2 1%	2 1%	1 *	1 1%	-	3 2%	2 1%	3 1%	1 *

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 2 074

19. What is the highest level of formal education you have completed?

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	801 100%	157 20%	257 32%	187 23%	191 24%	156 19%	111 14%	136 17%	398 50%	609 76%	56 7%	88 11%	12 1%	200 25%	601 75%
Less than high school graduate	14 2%	14 9%	-	-	-	6 4%	2 2%	4 3%	2 1%	9 1%	-	1 1%	2 13%	5 2%	9 2%
High school graduate	143 18%	143 91%	-	-	-	29 19%	20 18%	19 14%	75 19%	119 20%	7 12%	15 17%	-	30 15%	113 19%
Some College / Associates Degree	257 32%	-	257 100%	-	-	58 37%	42 38%	42 31%	116 29%	198 33%	15 26%	36 41%	-	69 34%	188 31%
Bachelor's Degree	187 23%	-	-	187 100%	-	33 21%	28 25%	31 23%	96 24%	132 22%	22 40%	19 22%	1 8%	45 23%	142 24%
Post graduate	191 24%	-	-	-	191 100%	28 18%	19 17%	40 29%	104 26%	145 24%	12 22%	16 18%	9 79%	49 24%	142 24%
DK/Refused DNR	10 1%	-	-	-	-	2 2%	1 1%	1 *	5 1%	5 1%	-	1 1%	-	3 1%	7 1%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 3 075

19. What is the highest level of formal education you have completed?

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS								
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAN	PACIFIC
TOTAL	801 100%	144 18%	184 23%	292 36%	180 22%	40 5%	104 13%	128 16%	56 7%	164 21%	48 6%	80 10%	56 7%	124 15%
Less than high school graduate	14 2%	3 2%	5 3%	6 2%	1 1%	-	3 3%	3 2%	2 3%	2 1%	1 2%	2 3%	-	1 1%
High school graduate	143 18%	27 18%	39 21%	57 20%	19 11%	9 22%	18 17%	28 22%	11 20%	33 20%	11 24%	13 16%	10 17%	10 8%
Some College / Associates Degree	257 32%	37 26%	64 35%	89 30%	68 38%	10 26%	26 25%	44 35%	19 34%	44 27%	14 30%	30 38%	25 44%	43 35%
Bachelor's Degree	187 23%	38 26%	38 21%	64 22%	47 26%	8 21%	30 29%	24 19%	14 25%	38 23%	7 15%	18 23%	9 17%	37 30%
Post graduate	191 24%	38 26%	36 20%	76 26%	41 23%	13 32%	25 24%	27 21%	10 17%	46 28%	13 28%	16 20%	11 20%	30 24%
DK/Refused DNR	10 1%	2 2%	2 1%	1 *	4 2%	0 *	2 2%	2 2%	0 1%	0 *	1 1%	-	1 1%	3 3%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 4 076

19. What is the highest level of formal education you have completed?

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE		CAP INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	
	FAV	UNFAV									
TOTAL	801 100%	63 8%	47 6%	437 54%	265 33%	410 51%	324 40%	632 79%	122 15%	667 83%	106 13%
Less than high school graduate	14 2%	1 1%	-	4 1%	8 3%	3 1%	8 2%	11 2%	3 3%	11 2%	3 3%
High school graduate	143 18%	10 16%	7 15%	70 16%	53 20%	61 15%	64 20%	108 17%	28 23%	116 17%	21 20%
Some College / Associates Degree	257 32%	27 42%	12 26%	139 32%	85 32%	128 31%	106 33%	194 31%	43 36%	206 31%	35 33%
Bachelor's Degree	187 23%	17 26%	12 25%	101 23%	64 24%	95 23%	83 26%	157 25%	24 20%	165 25%	21 19%
Post graduate	191 24%	9 14%	16 34%	120 28%	51 19%	121 29%	58 18%	155 24%	21 17%	163 24%	25 23%
DK/Refused DNR	10 1%	-	-	2 *	3 1%	3 1%	5 1%	7 1%	2 2%	7 1%	1 1%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 1 077

20. Which of the following best describes your race or ethnicity?

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	801 100%	368 46%	433 54%	304 38%	160 20%	144 18%	176 22%	220 27%	145 18%	244 31%	176 22%	249 31%	267 33%	257 32%
White	609 76%	282 77%	326 75%	224 74%	130 81%	108 75%	138 78%	170 77%	111 77%	184 75%	135 76%	218 88%	215 80%	158 61%
Hispanic, Mexican, Latino, Spanish	56 7%	24 6%	33 8%	24 8%	6 4%	10 7%	16 9%	14 6%	10 7%	16 7%	16 9%	16 6%	20 8%	20 8%
African-American	88 11%	33 9%	55 13%	36 12%	14 9%	15 11%	20 12%	17 8%	17 12%	33 14%	19 11%	2 1%	16 6%	66 26%
Asian	12 1%	10 3%	2 1%	4 1%	3 2%	3 2%	-	7 3%	1 1%	-	1 1%	3 1%	5 2%	4 2%
Other (specify)	24 3%	12 3%	12 3%	11 4%	5 3%	7 5%	1 1%	8 4%	4 3%	9 3%	4 2%	7 3%	8 3%	7 3%
Refused DNR	12 2%	7 2%	5 1%	5 2%	2 1%	2 1%	1 1%	5 2%	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	3 1%	4 1%	2 1%



BANNER 2 078

20. Which of the following best describes your race or ethnicity?

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	801 100%	157 20%	257 32%	187 23%	191 24%	156 19%	111 14%	136 17%	398 50%	609 76%	56 7%	88 11%	12 1%	200 25%	601 75%
White	609 76%	128 82%	198 77%	132 71%	145 76%	119 76%	82 74%	104 76%	304 76%	609 100%	-	-	-	146 73%	463 77%
Hispanic, Mexican, Latino, Spanish	56 7%	7 4%	15 6%	22 12%	12 6%	13 8%	5 4%	8 6%	31 8%	-	56 100%	-	-	9 4%	48 8%
African-American	88 11%	16 10%	36 14%	19 10%	16 8%	14 9%	19 17%	14 10%	41 10%	-	-	88 100%	-	26 13%	62 10%
Asian	12 1%	2 1%	-	1 1%	9 5%	4 3%	-	3 2%	5 1%	-	-	-	12 100%	4 2%	8 1%
Other (specify)	24 3%	3 2%	6 2%	8 4%	6 3%	3 2%	4 3%	6 4%	12 3%	-	-	-	-	11 6%	13 2%
Refused DNR	12 2%	1 1%	3 1%	4 2%	1 1%	3 2%	2 2%	1 1%	6 1%	-	-	-	-	4 2%	8 1%



BANNER 3 079

20. Which of the following best describes your race or ethnicity?

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS								
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTIC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTIC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAIN	PACIFIC
TOTAL	801 100%	144 18%	184 23%	292 36%	180 22%	40 5%	104 13%	128 16%	56 7%	164 21%	48 6%	80 10%	56 7%	124 15%
White	609 76%	109 76%	144 78%	218 75%	137 76%	34 84%	76 73%	97 76%	47 84%	122 75%	37 77%	59 73%	47 84%	90 72%
Hispanic, Mexican, Latino, Spanish	56 7%	11 8%	12 6%	14 5%	19 11%	3 8%	8 8%	8 6%	4 7%	9 5%	1 2%	4 6%	5 10%	13 11%
African-American	88 11%	18 13%	19 10%	38 13%	12 7%	3 8%	15 14%	17 13%	2 4%	19 12%	6 12%	13 16%	2 4%	10 8%
Asian	12 1%	3 2%	1 1%	6 2%	3 2%	-	3 2%	1 1%	-	4 3%	1 3%	-	-	3 2%
Other (specify)	24 3%	1 1%	7 4%	11 4%	6 3%	-	1 1%	4 3%	3 4%	7 4%	2 4%	2 2%	1 1%	5 4%
Refused DNR	12 2%	2 1%	2 1%	6 2%	3 2%	0 *	2 2%	1 1%	0 1%	2 1%	1 3%	2 2%	0 1%	3 2%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 4 080

20. Which of the following best describes your race or ethnicity?

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE CAP		INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	
	FAV	UNFAV									
TOTAL	801 100%	63 8%	47 6%	437 54%	265 33%	410 51%	324 40%	632 79%	122 15%	667 83%	106 13%
White	609 76%	52 81%	33 71%	326 75%	214 81%	289 71%	269 83%	482 76%	90 74%	511 77%	77 73%
Hispanic, Mexican, Latino, Spanish	56 7%	2 3%	2 5%	33 8%	16 6%	38 9%	18 5%	46 7%	9 8%	50 7%	6 6%
African-American	88 11%	7 11%	8 18%	53 12%	23 9%	53 13%	24 8%	68 11%	13 11%	71 11%	14 13%
Asian	12 1%	1 2%	1 3%	7 2%	2 1%	9 2%	3 1%	9 1%	1 1%	10 1%	1 1%
Other (specify)	24 3%	1 1%	1 2%	14 3%	4 2%	15 4%	4 1%	19 3%	5 4%	18 3%	5 5%
Refused DNR	12 2%	1 1%	1 2%	4 1%	6 2%	5 1%	7 2%	8 1%	3 3%	8 1%	3 2%





BANNER 1 081  
21a. age groups

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	801 100%	368 46%	433 54%	304 38%	160 20%	144 18%	176 22%	220 27%	145 18%	244 31%	176 22%	249 31%	267 33%	257 32%
18-24	64 8%	28 8%	36 8%	64 21%	-	-	-	28 13%	-	36 15%	-	12 5%	35 13%	15 6%
25-34	104 13%	59 16%	45 10%	104 34%	-	-	-	59 27%	-	45 19%	-	37 15%	29 11%	37 14%
35-44	136 17%	57 15%	79 18%	136 45%	-	-	-	57 26%	-	79 32%	-	42 17%	44 17%	47 18%
45-54	160 20%	76 21%	84 19%	-	160 100%	-	-	76 35%	-	84 34%	-	57 23%	53 20%	43 17%
55-64	144 18%	59 16%	86 20%	-	-	144 100%	-	-	59 41%	-	86 49%	35 14%	55 21%	50 19%
65-74	104 13%	51 14%	53 12%	-	-	-	104 59%	-	51 35%	-	53 30%	34 14%	27 10%	37 14%
75 or over	72 9%	35 10%	37 9%	-	-	-	72 41%	-	35 24%	-	37 21%	29 12%	17 6%	24 10%
Refused DNR	16 2%	4 1%	12 3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3 1%	7 3%	4 1%



BANNER 2 082  
21a. age groups

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	801 100%	157 20%	257 32%	187 23%	191 24%	156 19%	111 14%	136 17%	398 50%	609 76%	56 7%	88 11%	12 1%	200 25%	601 75%
18-24	64 8%	11 7%	26 10%	13 7%	13 7%	38 24%	8 7%	4 3%	15 4%	51 8%	5 9%	4 5%	2 13%	22 11%	42 7%
25-34	104 13%	17 11%	34 13%	24 13%	29 15%	35 22%	25 23%	17 12%	27 7%	69 11%	9 16%	17 19%	1 12%	37 18%	67 11%
35-44	136 17%	31 20%	41 16%	29 16%	35 18%	30 19%	25 22%	22 16%	60 15%	103 17%	9 17%	15 17%	1 12%	39 20%	97 16%
45-54	160 20%	25 16%	52 20%	48 26%	34 18%	23 15%	16 14%	33 24%	88 22%	130 21%	6 11%	14 16%	3 23%	46 23%	115 19%
55-64	144 18%	25 16%	51 20%	35 19%	30 16%	13 8%	24 21%	23 17%	84 21%	108 18%	10 18%	15 17%	3 23%	34 17%	110 18%
65-74	104 13%	21 14%	26 10%	28 15%	28 15%	8 5%	11 9%	21 15%	65 16%	83 14%	6 11%	14 16%	-	16 8%	89 15%
75 or over	72 9%	24 15%	26 10%	5 3%	16 8%	7 4%	1 1%	12 9%	52 13%	55 9%	10 17%	6 7%	-	3 2%	69 11%
Refused DNR	16 2%	1 1%	1 1%	4 2%	5 2%	3 2%	2 1%	4 3%	7 2%	9 1%	-	2 3%	2 16%	4 2%	12 2%



BANNER 3 083  
21a. age groups

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS								
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTIC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTIC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAIN	PACIFIC
TOTAL	801 100%	144 18%	184 23%	292 36%	180 22%	40 5%	104 13%	128 16%	56 7%	164 21%	48 6%	80 10%	56 7%	124 15%
18-24	64 8%	8 5%	13 7%	31 11%	13 7%	2 5%	5 5%	8 7%	4 8%	21 13%	3 6%	7 9%	2 4%	10 8%
25-34	104 13%	18 12%	25 14%	35 12%	26 14%	2 5%	16 15%	18 14%	8 14%	19 11%	5 10%	11 14%	9 15%	17 14%
35-44	136 17%	30 20%	43 23%	39 13%	25 14%	7 17%	23 22%	30 23%	13 23%	23 14%	5 10%	11 14%	8 14%	17 14%
45-54	160 20%	29 20%	31 17%	55 19%	45 25%	9 23%	20 19%	18 14%	13 23%	28 17%	12 26%	15 18%	14 26%	31 25%
55-64	144 18%	24 17%	28 15%	59 20%	32 18%	5 13%	19 18%	22 17%	6 11%	35 21%	11 23%	14 17%	11 19%	21 17%
65-74	104 13%	20 14%	21 11%	45 15%	18 10%	9 22%	11 11%	15 12%	6 11%	24 15%	8 16%	13 16%	6 11%	12 10%
75 or over	72 9%	13 9%	18 10%	23 8%	18 10%	5 13%	8 8%	13 10%	5 9%	10 6%	5 10%	8 10%	5 8%	13 10%
Refused DNR	16 2%	3 2%	5 3%	5 2%	3 2%	1 2%	2 2%	5 4%	0 *	4 2%	-	1 1%	1 3%	2 1%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as \*.



BANNER 4 084  
21a. age groups

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE CAP		INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
TOTAL	801	63	47	437	265	410	324	632	122	667	106
	100%	8%	6%	54%	33%	51%	40%	79%	15%	83%	13%
18-24	64	4	2	35	16	36	20	51	7	51	6
	8%	6%	5%	8%	6%	9%	6%	8%	6%	8%	6%
25-34	104	12	5	56	31	57	39	85	15	90	14
	13%	19%	10%	13%	12%	14%	12%	13%	12%	13%	13%
35-44	136	9	5	65	53	67	55	115	13	120	14
	17%	14%	10%	15%	20%	16%	17%	18%	11%	18%	13%
45-54	160	12	18	97	56	79	73	128	25	138	18
	20%	19%	38%	22%	21%	19%	23%	20%	21%	21%	17%
55-64	144	13	8	81	54	76	61	113	24	119	21
	18%	20%	18%	19%	21%	18%	19%	18%	20%	18%	20%
65-74	104	10	8	63	29	55	41	81	18	87	16
	13%	15%	16%	14%	11%	13%	13%	13%	15%	13%	15%
75 or over	72	4	1	32	21	35	28	48	17	51	13
	9%	6%	2%	7%	8%	9%	9%	8%	14%	8%	13%
Refused DNR	16	1	1	7	5	6	8	11	2	11	3
	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%



BANNER 1 085  
22. Sex: BY OBSERVATION

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	801	368	433	304	160	144	176	220	145	244	176	249	267	257
	100%	46%	54%	38%	20%	18%	22%	27%	18%	31%	22%	31%	33%	32%
Male	368	368	-	144	76	59	86	220	145	-	-	119	132	105
	46%	100%		47%	48%	41%	49%	100%	100%			48%	49%	41%
Female	433	-	433	160	84	86	90	-	-	244	176	130	136	152
	54%		100%	53%	52%	59%	51%			100%	100%	52%	51%	59%



BANNER 2 086  
22. Sex: BY OBSERVATION

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
<b>TOTAL</b>	801 100%	157 20%	257 32%	187 23%	191 24%	156 19%	111 14%	136 17%	398 50%	609 76%	56 7%	88 11%	12 1%	200 25%	601 75%
<b>Male</b>	368 46%	76 48%	102 39%	87 46%	101 53%	76 49%	48 43%	60 44%	184 46%	282 46%	24 42%	33 38%	10 81%	115 57%	254 42%
<b>Female</b>	433 54%	81 52%	156 61%	100 54%	89 47%	80 51%	63 57%	76 56%	213 54%	326 54%	33 58%	55 62%	2 19%	85 43%	347 58%



BANNER 3 087  
22. Sex: BY OBSERVATION

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS								
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAN	PACIFIC
TOTAL	801 100%	144 18%	184 23%	292 36%	180 22%	40 5%	104 13%	128 16%	56 7%	164 21%	48 6%	80 10%	56 7%	124 15%
Male	368 46%	69 48%	85 46%	136 47%	77 43%	20 51%	49 47%	58 45%	27 48%	80 49%	26 55%	30 37%	27 48%	51 41%
Female	433 54%	75 52%	99 54%	156 53%	103 57%	20 49%	55 53%	70 55%	29 52%	84 51%	22 45%	50 63%	29 52%	73 59%



BANNER 4 088  
22. Sex: BY OBSERVATION

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE CAP		INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	
	FAV	UNFAV									
TOTAL	801 100%	63 8%	47 6%	437 54%	265 33%	410 51%	324 40%	632 79%	122 15%	667 83%	106 13%
Male	368 46%	28 44%	27 57%	203 47%	124 47%	199 48%	143 44%	293 46%	55 45%	308 46%	45 43%
Female	433 54%	36 56%	20 43%	233 53%	141 53%	211 52%	181 56%	339 54%	67 55%	359 54%	61 57%





BANNER 1 089  
23a. Regions PRE-CODE

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	801 100%	368 46%	433 54%	304 38%	160 20%	144 18%	176 22%	220 27%	145 18%	244 31%	176 22%	249 31%	267 33%	257 32%
Northeast	144 18%	69 19%	75 17%	55 18%	29 18%	24 17%	33 19%	41 18%	27 19%	44 18%	30 17%	41 16%	49 18%	52 20%
Midwest	184 23%	85 23%	99 23%	81 27%	31 20%	28 19%	39 22%	49 22%	36 25%	63 26%	32 18%	56 22%	63 23%	56 22%
South	292 36%	136 37%	156 36%	105 35%	55 34%	59 41%	68 39%	80 36%	57 39%	80 33%	71 40%	96 38%	100 38%	88 34%
West	180 22%	77 21%	103 24%	63 21%	45 28%	32 22%	36 20%	51 23%	25 18%	57 23%	43 24%	57 23%	56 21%	62 24%



BANNER 2 090  
23a. Regions PRE-CODE

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	801 100%	157 20%	257 32%	187 23%	191 24%	156 19%	111 14%	136 17%	398 50%	609 76%	56 7%	88 11%	12 1%	200 25%	601 75%
Northeast	144 18%	29 19%	37 14%	38 20%	38 20%	30 19%	19 17%	27 20%	68 17%	109 18%	11 20%	18 21%	3 21%	35 18%	109 18%
Midwest	184 23%	44 28%	64 25%	38 20%	36 19%	30 20%	35 32%	32 24%	87 22%	144 24%	12 21%	19 22%	1 8%	45 23%	139 23%
South	292 36%	63 40%	89 34%	64 34%	76 40%	59 38%	38 34%	49 36%	146 37%	218 36%	14 26%	38 43%	6 46%	72 36%	220 37%
West	180 22%	20 13%	68 27%	47 25%	41 22%	36 23%	18 16%	28 21%	97 24%	137 22%	19 34%	12 14%	3 24%	48 24%	132 22%



BANNER 3 091  
23a. Regions PRE-CODE

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS								
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAN	PACIFIC
TOTAL	801 100%	144 18%	184 23%	292 36%	180 22%	40 5%	104 13%	128 16%	56 7%	164 21%	48 6%	80 10%	56 7%	124 15%
Northeast	144 18%	144 100%	-	-	-	40 100%	104 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Midwest	184 23%	-	184 100%	-	-	-	-	128 100%	56 100%	-	-	-	-	-
South	292 36%	-	-	292 100%	-	-	-	-	-	164 100%	48 100%	80 100%	-	-
West	180 22%	-	-	-	180 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	56 100%	124 100%



BANNER 4 092  
23a. Regions PRE-CODE

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE CAP		INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	
	FAV	UNFAV									
TOTAL	801 100%	63 8%	47 6%	437 54%	265 33%	410 51%	324 40%	632 79%	122 15%	667 83%	106 13%
Northeast	144 18%	11 17%	5 11%	81 19%	47 18%	74 18%	61 19%	117 19%	18 15%	120 18%	18 17%
Midwest	184 23%	15 23%	6 13%	95 22%	63 24%	88 22%	80 25%	134 21%	38 31%	147 22%	31 29%
South	292 36%	26 42%	16 34%	160 37%	95 36%	151 37%	117 36%	240 38%	38 31%	247 37%	36 34%
West	180 22%	11 18%	20 42%	101 23%	60 23%	97 24%	66 20%	140 22%	27 22%	153 23%	21 20%



BANNER 1 093  
23b. Regions PRE-CODE

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	801 100%	368 46%	433 54%	304 38%	160 20%	144 18%	176 22%	220 27%	145 18%	244 31%	176 22%	249 31%	267 33%	257 32%
New England	40 5%	20 6%	20 5%	11 4%	9 6%	5 4%	14 8%	7 3%	13 9%	13 5%	6 4%	9 4%	19 7%	12 5%
Mid-Atlantic	104 13%	49 13%	55 13%	44 14%	20 13%	19 13%	19 11%	33 15%	14 10%	31 13%	24 14%	31 13%	30 11%	40 16%
East North Central	128 16%	58 16%	70 16%	56 18%	18 11%	22 15%	27 16%	31 14%	26 18%	43 18%	23 13%	40 16%	36 14%	45 17%
West North Central	56 7%	27 7%	29 7%	25 8%	13 8%	6 4%	12 7%	18 8%	9 6%	21 8%	9 5%	16 6%	26 10%	12 4%
South Atlantic	164 21%	80 22%	84 19%	63 21%	28 17%	35 24%	35 20%	46 21%	34 24%	45 19%	35 20%	47 19%	64 24%	48 19%
East South Central	48 6%	26 7%	22 5%	12 4%	12 8%	11 8%	12 7%	17 8%	9 6%	7 3%	14 8%	20 8%	13 5%	12 5%
West South Central	80 10%	30 8%	50 12%	29 10%	15 9%	14 10%	21 12%	16 7%	13 9%	28 11%	22 12%	29 12%	22 8%	28 11%
Mountain	56 7%	27 7%	29 7%	19 6%	14 9%	11 8%	11 6%	18 8%	8 6%	15 6%	13 7%	21 8%	19 7%	15 6%
Pacific	124 15%	51 14%	73 17%	45 15%	31 19%	21 15%	25 14%	33 15%	17 12%	42 17%	30 17%	36 15%	36 14%	47 18%



BANNER 2 094  
23b. Regions PRE-CODE

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	801 100%	157 20%	257 32%	187 23%	191 24%	156 19%	111 14%	136 17%	398 50%	609 76%	56 7%	88 11%	12 1%	200 25%	601 75%
New England	40 5%	9 6%	10 4%	8 4%	13 7%	10 6%	1 1%	4 3%	25 6%	34 6%	3 6%	3 4%	-	11 5%	30 5%
Mid-Atlantic	104 13%	21 13%	26 10%	30 16%	25 13%	20 13%	18 17%	22 16%	43 11%	76 12%	8 14%	15 17%	3 21%	24 12%	80 13%
East North Central	128 16%	31 20%	44 17%	24 13%	27 14%	24 15%	22 20%	21 15%	62 16%	97 16%	8 14%	17 20%	1 8%	31 15%	98 16%
West North Central	56 7%	13 8%	19 8%	14 8%	10 5%	7 4%	13 12%	12 8%	25 6%	47 8%	4 7%	2 2%	-	15 7%	42 7%
South Atlantic	164 21%	35 22%	44 17%	38 21%	46 24%	32 20%	23 21%	23 17%	86 22%	122 20%	9 16%	19 22%	4 34%	39 20%	125 21%
East South Central	48 6%	12 8%	14 6%	7 4%	13 7%	9 6%	4 4%	8 6%	26 7%	37 6%	1 2%	6 6%	1 12%	13 6%	35 6%
West South Central	80 10%	15 10%	30 12%	18 10%	16 8%	18 12%	11 10%	18 13%	33 8%	59 10%	4 8%	13 15%	-	20 10%	60 10%
Mountain	56 7%	10 6%	25 10%	9 5%	11 6%	10 6%	5 5%	9 6%	32 8%	47 8%	5 10%	2 3%	-	15 7%	41 7%
Pacific	124 15%	11 7%	43 17%	37 20%	30 16%	26 17%	13 12%	20 14%	65 16%	90 15%	13 24%	10 12%	3 24%	33 16%	91 15%



BANNER 3 095  
23b. Regions PRE-CODE

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS								
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAN	PACIFIC
TOTAL	801 100%	144 18%	184 23%	292 36%	180 22%	40 5%	104 13%	128 16%	56 7%	164 21%	48 6%	80 10%	56 7%	124 15%
New England	40 5%	40 28%	-	-	-	40 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mid-Atlantic	104 13%	104 72%	-	-	-	-	104 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
East North Central	128 16%	-	128 70%	-	-	-	-	128 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-
West North Central	56 7%	-	56 30%	-	-	-	-	-	56 100%	-	-	-	-	-
South Atlantic	164 21%	-	-	164 56%	-	-	-	-	-	164 100%	-	-	-	-
East South Central	48 6%	-	-	48 16%	-	-	-	-	-	-	48 100%	-	-	-
West South Central	80 10%	-	-	80 27%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	80 100%	-	-
Mountain	56 7%	-	-	-	56 31%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	56 100%	-
Pacific	124 15%	-	-	-	124 69%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	124 100%



BANNER 4 096  
23b. Regions PRE-CODE

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE CAP		INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
TOTAL	801	63	47	437	265	410	324	632	122	667	106
	100%	8%	6%	54%	33%	51%	40%	79%	15%	83%	13%
New England	40	5	3	27	9	23	14	32	2	36	2
	5%	7%	6%	6%	4%	6%	4%	5%	2%	5%	2%
Mid-Atlantic	104	7	2	54	37	50	47	85	16	84	16
	13%	10%	5%	12%	14%	12%	15%	13%	13%	13%	15%
East North Central	128	10	5	69	43	57	58	91	29	101	24
	16%	16%	10%	16%	16%	14%	18%	14%	24%	15%	23%
West North Central	56	5	2	27	20	31	22	43	9	46	7
	7%	8%	4%	6%	8%	8%	7%	7%	7%	7%	6%
South Atlantic	164	14	11	96	48	90	60	137	21	140	18
	21%	22%	24%	22%	18%	22%	19%	22%	17%	21%	17%
East South Central	48	7	2	26	16	21	23	40	6	41	6
	6%	11%	4%	6%	6%	5%	7%	6%	5%	6%	5%
West South Central	80	5	3	38	31	39	33	63	12	66	12
	10%	9%	6%	9%	12%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	11%
Mountain	56	3	3	33	20	27	27	45	10	53	4
	7%	5%	6%	8%	7%	7%	8%	7%	8%	8%	3%
Pacific	124	8	16	68	40	70	39	95	17	100	18
	15%	13%	35%	15%	15%	17%	12%	15%	14%	15%	17%





BANNER 1 097  
24. Interview Method: PRE-CODE

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	801	368	433	304	160	144	176	220	145	244	176	249	267	257
	100%	46%	54%	38%	20%	18%	22%	27%	18%	31%	22%	31%	33%	32%
Cell	200	115	85	98	46	34	19	85	28	58	25	58	61	70
	25%	31%	20%	32%	28%	24%	11%	39%	19%	24%	14%	23%	23%	27%
Landline	601	254	347	206	115	110	157	135	117	187	151	191	206	187
	75%	69%	80%	68%	72%	76%	89%	61%	81%	76%	86%	77%	77%	73%



BANNER 2 098  
24. Interview Method: PRE-CODE

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	801 100%	157 20%	257 32%	187 23%	191 24%	156 19%	111 14%	136 17%	398 50%	609 76%	56 7%	88 11%	12 1%	200 25%	601 75%
Cell	200 25%	35 22%	69 27%	45 24%	49 26%	69 44%	40 36%	37 27%	54 14%	146 24%	9 15%	26 30%	4 33%	200 100%	-
Landline	601 75%	122 78%	188 73%	142 76%	142 74%	87 56%	71 64%	99 73%	343 86%	463 76%	48 85%	62 70%	8 67%	-	601 100%



BANNER 3 099  
24. Interview Method: PRE-CODE

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS								
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTIC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTIC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAIN	PACIFIC
TOTAL	801	144	184	292	180	40	104	128	56	164	48	80	56	124
	100%	18%	23%	36%	22%	5%	13%	16%	7%	21%	6%	10%	7%	15%
Cell	200	35	45	72	48	11	24	31	15	39	13	20	15	33
	25%	24%	24%	25%	27%	26%	23%	24%	26%	24%	26%	25%	26%	27%
Landline	601	109	139	220	132	30	80	98	42	125	35	60	41	91
	75%	76%	76%	75%	73%	74%	77%	76%	74%	76%	74%	75%	74%	73%



BANNER 4 100  
24. Interview Method: PRE-CODE

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE CAP		INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	
	FAV	UNFAV									
TOTAL	801 100%	63 8%	47 6%	437 54%	265 33%	410 51%	324 40%	632 79%	122 15%	667 83%	106 13%
Cell	200 25%	22 34%	15 31%	103 24%	63 24%	100 24%	79 24%	155 25%	28 23%	163 24%	25 23%
Landline	601 75%	42 66%	32 69%	333 76%	202 76%	310 76%	245 76%	477 75%	93 77%	504 76%	81 77%



BANNER 1 101  
25. Vote History: PRE-CODE

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER AGE				PARTY			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-44	45-54	55-64	65+	MALE 18-54	MALE 55+	FEMALE 18-54	FEMALE 55+	REP	IND	DEM
TOTAL	801 100%	368 46%	433 54%	304 38%	160 20%	144 18%	176 22%	220 27%	145 18%	244 31%	176 22%	249 31%	267 33%	257 32%
0 of 4	87 11%	43 12%	44 10%	57 19%	16 10%	5 3%	7 4%	35 16%	7 5%	37 15%	5 3%	19 8%	48 18%	17 7%
1 of 4	69 9%	32 9%	37 8%	45 15%	7 5%	8 6%	7 4%	26 12%	6 4%	26 11%	9 5%	28 11%	22 8%	18 7%
2 of 4	111 14%	48 13%	63 15%	58 19%	16 10%	24 17%	12 7%	34 16%	13 9%	40 16%	22 13%	33 13%	38 14%	37 14%
3 of 4	136 17%	60 16%	76 18%	42 14%	33 21%	23 16%	33 19%	32 15%	27 19%	43 18%	29 17%	49 20%	31 12%	51 20%
4 of 4	398 50%	184 50%	213 49%	101 33%	88 55%	84 58%	117 66%	92 42%	91 63%	98 40%	110 62%	120 48%	128 48%	136 53%



BANNER 2 102  
25. Vote History: PRE-CODE

	EDUCATION				VOTE HISTORY				ETHNICITY				INTERVIEW METHOD		
	TOTAL	HS OR LESS	SM COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	0 TO 1 OF 4	2 OF 4	3 OF 4	4 OF 4	WHITE	HISPANC	AFRICAN AMER	ASAIN	CELL	LAND LINE
TOTAL	801 100%	157 20%	257 32%	187 23%	191 24%	156 19%	111 14%	136 17%	398 50%	609 76%	56 7%	88 11%	12 1%	200 25%	601 75%
0 of 4	87 11%	20 13%	25 10%	22 12%	18 10%	87 56%	-	-	-	66 11%	8 14%	8 9%	3 21%	38 19%	49 8%
1 of 4	69 9%	15 10%	32 13%	10 6%	10 5%	69 44%	-	-	-	53 9%	5 9%	6 7%	1 12%	31 15%	38 6%
2 of 4	111 14%	21 14%	42 16%	28 15%	19 10%	-	111 100%	-	-	82 13%	5 8%	19 22%	-	40 20%	71 12%
3 of 4	136 17%	24 15%	42 16%	31 16%	40 21%	-	-	136 100%	-	104 17%	8 14%	14 16%	3 23%	37 19%	99 16%
4 of 4	398 50%	77 49%	116 45%	96 51%	104 54%	-	-	-	398 100%	304 50%	31 55%	41 46%	5 43%	54 27%	343 57%



BANNER 3 103  
25. Vote History: PRE-CODE

	4 POINT CENSUS					9 POINT CENSUS								
	TOTAL	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NEW ENGLAND	MID ATLANTC	E NORTH CENTRAL	W NORTH CENTRAL	SOUTH ATLANTC	E SOUTH CENTRAL	W SOUTH CENTRAL	MOUNTAN	PACIFIC
TOTAL	801 100%	144 18%	184 23%	292 36%	180 22%	40 5%	104 13%	128 16%	56 7%	164 21%	48 6%	80 10%	56 7%	124 15%
0 of 4	87 11%	18 13%	14 8%	38 13%	16 9%	6 15%	13 12%	10 8%	4 7%	19 12%	7 14%	12 15%	5 10%	11 9%
1 of 4	69 9%	12 8%	16 9%	21 7%	20 11%	4 9%	8 8%	13 10%	3 5%	13 8%	3 5%	6 7%	4 8%	16 13%
2 of 4	111 14%	19 13%	35 19%	38 13%	18 10%	1 2%	18 18%	22 18%	13 23%	23 14%	4 9%	11 14%	5 9%	13 11%
3 of 4	136 17%	27 18%	32 17%	49 17%	28 16%	4 11%	22 21%	21 16%	12 20%	23 14%	8 18%	18 22%	9 15%	20 16%
4 of 4	398 50%	68 47%	87 47%	146 50%	97 54%	25 63%	43 41%	62 48%	25 44%	86 53%	26 54%	33 42%	32 58%	65 52%



BANNER 4 104  
25. Vote History: PRE-CODE

	ATR IMAGE		INIT PRICE CAP		INF PRICE CAPS		INIT PRACTITIONER		INF PRACTITIONERS		
	TOTAL	TOTAL	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	
	FAV	UNFAV									
TOTAL	801 100%	63 8%	47 6%	437 54%	265 33%	410 51%	324 40%	632 79%	122 15%	667 83%	106 13%
0 of 4	87 11%	5 8%	3 7%	54 12%	25 10%	46 11%	33 10%	70 11%	10 8%	77 12%	5 5%
1 of 4	69 9%	9 14%	3 6%	33 8%	22 8%	31 8%	28 9%	56 9%	9 7%	56 8%	11 11%
2 of 4	111 14%	10 16%	5 11%	46 10%	44 16%	43 11%	53 16%	83 13%	21 17%	85 13%	20 19%
3 of 4	136 17%	7 11%	8 16%	74 17%	46 17%	76 19%	56 17%	118 19%	14 11%	122 18%	11 10%
4 of 4	398 50%	32 51%	28 60%	230 53%	128 48%	213 52%	154 48%	306 48%	68 56%	328 49%	59 56%